

AUSTRALIAN PROSPERITY (1)

Search

[AUSTRALIAN PROSPERITY \(1\)](#) [RESTORING PROSPERITY - DETAILS \(/restoring-prosperity---details.html\)](#)

[HOW AUSTRALIA WAS GLOBALISED \(/how-australia-was-globalised.html\)](#) [more...](#)

HOW AUSTRALIA WAS GLOBALISED BY STEALTH

- A print documentary of the Australian experience.

This presentation identifies the 17 elements of *globalisation*; a deceptive term which to the more alert mind reads as *the incremental installation of the new world order*.

This documentary addresses:

- strategies that were planned; and
- both intended outcomes, and unintended consequences; which

...on interaction will, I project, cause the emerging *New World Order* to self-destruct. For all those people who fear the impending destruction of freedom, democracy, justice, and cultures, my words may be seen as an expression of supreme optimism. I call it insightful realism but, make no mistake, we *will* have to fight.

This paper has been prepared to familiarise already-concerned Australians with the dangerously underestimated multiple crisis into which our nation is being drawn.

Although I specifically address the Aussie situation, the pattern of globalist conquest is repeated with minor variations around the world. Unsurprisingly, therefore, our American cousins, and even our almost vanquished relatives in the UK, will discover little here that is unfamiliar.

Furthermore, although my current programme of research commenced forty-five years ago; independently and in very disparate locations and circumstances; yet such cross-referencing inexorably provoking the same alarming conclusions, there is no expectation or intent to persuade our famously cynical Aussie readers to accept only this interpretation of events. If others see a different picture our members (*Tariff Restoration Bloc*, *Australian Independent's Alliance*, etc) are as anxious to examine alternative perspectives as we are to disseminate our own. In fact our collective membership is due to this very process of consensus sharing. We all face a common enemy and we will secure its defeat only if we analyse cooperatively, and then work together to whatever level of agreement emerges.

In this paper, the copious footnotes and bibliography traditionally demanded by our scholarly elite is absent for the simple reason that this paper is *not the product of scientific or academic research*. With their impositions of political correctness, corporate control, active repression of open community consensus, derision of views not delivered through ivory portals, and their almost unanimous collusion with *New World Order* installations, scientists and academics have proved to be an element of society which can no longer be trusted.

Initially, this project has been the product of on-site observation and investigation within government, industry, commerce, agriculture, community development practice, and the in political arena... the author has pursued careers in all, and has produced papers specific to each issue thus identified. Should readers require detailed sources of information; this will be forwarded by return e-mail.

Obviously, some writers of existing papers and articles have been a confirming and often inspiring influence and some of these are listed at the end of the paper. But it would be fair to say that some ten thousand interviewees, sounded out between 1988 and 2011, also share authorship here.

(1) Financial Meltdown: Is this a case of oops! or just another ring of the corporate till?

Until recently, explanation about the cause or causes of what has come to be known quite satisfyingly as the *global financial meltdown* has been confined to economists, or to the quasi-economists of journalism. Politicians have been quick to parrot journo jargon in the fragile hope we become persuaded they know what they are talking about.

The keyhole vista these academics and politicians present to us, while sometimes impressively mathematical, merely dangerously narrows the parameters of what are vast and far-reaching elements of change which extend, as we shall demonstrate, more invasively and malignantly within the body politic than the average expert observer would even dare imagine, and with infinitely more devastating consequences for all of the people on this planet.

What most ordinary citizens have already concluded is that:

- Economists appear to have contributed significantly to the financial disaster with their glib and rarefied theories, and failure to realise that their favourite equation... debt equals credit equals wealth... is untenable; or that *futures*, *derivatives* and *credit default swaps* are merely sophist juggling, gambling and fraud, by other names;
- Private banks cannot be trusted to manage the wealth of the nation, on behalf of the nation; and
- Journalists, for their part, are endemically unable to differentiate fact from fiction and are gullibly serving the entities responsible for manipulating this situation in the first place: the investment banks and Wall St.

Nor was the oft-heard wail 'no one could have seen this coming' related in any way to substance.

In fact, thousands of observers, including a former head of the BIS, have attempted to bring this long-anticipated financial collapse to the notice of government and the public, but have been shut out of dialogue by the media and the publishing houses; especially those associated with universities.

But there is little evidence that even these pundits predicted that the systemic implosion of the investment industry would precipitate a greater avalanche of global economic, industrial and social chaos. This is because most of these concerned people, nevertheless, were ardent converts to the neo-religions of **free market theory** and **academia-led elitism**.

As with all belief systems, faith replaces intellect.

Moreover, as with all religions, disbelievers and heretics must be reviled and cast out. Thus, anyone who both understands and cares that *free market policy* means untrammelled licence to terminally exploit workers and consumers, automatically becomes a pariah before government, and elsewhere where voices can be heard.

Neither can a heretic be considered as a candidate for preselection by a political party. But just in case new political parties emerged, former PM John Howard had all unsuccessful small parties deregistered; disingenuously aware that all new parties are at first unsuccessful.

The recent and ongoing global financial collapse is essentially a breakdown of *measuring devices and exchange* and has only limited impact on real economics... if one accepts the definition of economics as **the measure of coordinated human survival effort**. And, of course, we part company with economists here immediately. Few economists are concerned about people, unless these are converted to more malleable and manageable symbols, numbers and jargon.

The real and salient issue is the abandonment of family farmers and manufacturers and hence jobs and workers and families; a disaster inflicted primarily by removing tariffs.

Prosperity has been exchanged for poverty, and Australia's famous all-encompassing bell-curve middle class, which was the real measure of prosperity, was eliminated in only three decades. As an increasing percentage of Americans are now realising too late, economic rescue must be led by **tariff restoration**; which is heresy to the high priests of free marketing.

What we all need urgently to grasp is that the credit collapse is effectively a diversion from our more fundamental problems.

But before we pursue the tariffs issue, we need to clarify the behaviour of banks and investment practices.

Many independent writers have been quick to read the obvious, that what was put down to arrant stupidity and greed was calculatedly and profitably manipulated. The US Government's bailout to banks, and the behaviour of AIG and Goldman Sachs executives with \$152 billion of taxpayer's money, and concomitant management of the

US Federal Reserve and Treasury, is evidence enough of that. The more critical question is, *was the collaboration more extensive?*

This line of thought zeros in on the apparently seamless relationship between Wall St, the big investment banks, the US Federal Reserve, and the White House. Cynics also sniff knowingly at the more recent emasculation of protective legislation originally introduced in the aftermath of the Great Depression. To have achieved such a clearway, they reason, required overriding influence of both the White House *and* Congress.

While high-ranking analysts ponder these realms, most ordinary citizens are considerably less confused. Internet detectives to a man, they have long since ceased to balk at the acrid smell of gun smoke and have moved on to discussing the entity holding the gun, and why the law has not moved in on the crime scene.

Thinking people realise that it takes a lot of power and wealth to own Presidents and Congress. By a simple process of elimination, the manipulators have been identified by the complete absence of alternative suspects. Such figures require boundless wealth, motivation, power, administrative infrastructure; a choke-hold on the print, TV, and cinematic media; and international cooperation, especially of governments.

For our part, and ignoring the remarkably uniform speculation of disparate Internet adherents, identities will emerge as this paper progresses. In the meantime, history can provide us with the all-essential context.

We need to step back and examine the history and role of the United Nations as central to this affair, for this is where all money and paper trails lead. And we do not refer simply to the World Trade Organisation (WTO), the World Bank, the International Monetary Fund (IMF) or even the Rothschild's private but collaborative Bank for International Settlements (BIS), but the actual owners of the funds they dispense.

Although we focus on the Australian experience here, readers can interpret in terms of their own national context. This will not be difficult, and the similarity of events further points to the global manipulation that so many acknowledged 'experts' deny. Obviously then the names Rothschild and Rockefeller will emerge; but so too will their collaborators; who rule Australia.

(2) The redefining of Democracy

For more than a century, in order to manoeuvre governments towards acceptance of national and geopolitical elitism, the international banker alliance, which dominates funding of political party election campaigns, has proselytised the notion that *representationalism is democracy*. It is not.

Democracy is ***Government of the people, by the people and for the people***... lyrically expressed as such by Abe Lincoln, with the then contemporary threat of financial and economic hegemony in mind, his intention being to obstruct those same banking families who were playing on the ambiguity of the word *represent*.

In the modern context, **democracy is that state in which the thrust and direction of policy is generated directly by informed electoral consensus**. The correct role of the political representative is but that of message boy, to convey that consensus to Parliament for implementation by the public service.

Representationalism on the other hand is *leadership*, wherein a person or group nominates to make decisions on behalf of constituents. This is the opposite of democracy, and it matters not if the leader is elected or self appointed, history demonstrates this mode of social organisation has never worked happily for *The People*. Such a person accumulates power, and all power corrupts, as Lord Acton so sagely noted. *Moreover*, this wisest of men added, *there are no great leaders, only bad men*. But they write their own histories.

In terms of contemporary politics, the bottom line is, *representationalism* is the act of electing a preselected stooge who serves an oligarchy, which in turn serves a corporate banker elite that does our thinking for us.

Expecting someone to do our thinking for us and expecting an outcome favourable to our interests is the most outrageous act of gullibility and liability it is possible to conceive.

Do any genuine democracies survive today? No.

Erasing the democracies which were led for four centuries by Ireland and Finland, the Vatican reintroduced global theocracy in the 9th century. The only attempt since, to resurrect democracy was made by Thomas Paine, most famously with his inspirational book *The Rights of Man*. Remnants of democracy survived from the 1790s, and readers aged over sixty-five will recall politicians being required to trudge from doorstep to doorstep in their electorates to gauge the people's will... at least up until 1973. Thereon, the media and pollsters hijacked this function.

(3) The Corruption and Subversion of Governments

Governments no longer govern on behalf of their respective electorates; instead they install the various programmes and strategies 'requested' by lobbies, and the unaccountable UN, other foreign influences, and election campaign contributors (mainly banks).

Australian Governments, led variously and seamlessly by Gough Whitlam, Bob Hawke, Paul Keating, John Howard, Kevin Rudd, and Julia Gillard, have excelled at this betrayal more than their counterparts in any other country, with no other nation rendered so dangerously exposed and vulnerable through **tariff removals**; although the US has been similarly emasculated.

This abrogation of electoral accountability has resulted in a virtual dictatorship by the investor clique, and clustered at their feet are the aspiring *nouveaux riche*; who have no real comprehension of national economics, are devoid of social conscience, but who worship at the altar of Wall Street and free market theology. Mammon by another name.

To many Aussies, the word *investor* has become a euphemism for *traitor*.

Another significant set of lobbyists are the major land developers (who operate mostly at a state level), but the central players are international banks, the global corporations and the media... in Australia, all media is controlled by Rupert Murdoch (including that of ABC and SBS). Occasionally this has occurred through direct intimidation, as when Fairfax's *The Age* published a condemnation of Israel's murder of 400 children during the 2009 attack on Gaza. The next day a retraction appeared. But invariably, cynical and value-deficient journalists impose censorship on themselves believing, accurately, this to be in their career interests. This has been the observation of journalist and author, John Pilger.

Political analysts of the past; Donald Horne for example, included the judiciary amongst the social institutions that protect freedom. Today, alas, judges are political appointees; who are also leading proponents of the internationalist movement... which is a nice way of saying opponents of Australian national sovereignty, law, and patriotism.

Most Australian and American citizens who have realised the extent of misgovernment and widespread judicial malfeasance have focused on constitutional issues: calling for amendments, new constitutions, declarations of *ultra vires* for current legislation, citizen initiated referenda (CIR), and so on; all of these good and well-meaning people not comprehending one overriding truth: that almost *all governments have been corrupted, subverted or taken over, and all had constitutions to protect citizens from precisely these attacks.*

What does this tell us?

Those who have finally pulled their fingers from their ears hear the message plainly... ***constitutions protect no one but their creators.***

The evidence of history is that the lawyers who drafted constitutions were already working for the enemy... hence the twenty-seven amendments applied to the American Constitution when they finally woke up to the complete absence of Thomas Paine's clauses. By the time they had muddled their way to the eventual ratification of the Bill of Rights, (the first ten amendments) no American was capable of understanding the philosophical significance of *All authority derives from the people.*

Constitutions and bills of rights were a clever con from the outset. Remove the belief systems from our minds and the evidence is irrefutable; but for many, too frightening to contemplate. Regardless of the intent of The People, all national constitutions have placed power in the hands of the financial and legal elite, and the only power left to The People is to whinge to the head of state; in Australia, the Queen of England; who is a historical and financial member of the ruling elite.

This goes some way to explain why no national constitution includes the very word that represents *people power*... democracy.

(4) The incremental elimination of tariffs

It was Gough Whitlam who locked us into the Lima Agreement of 1975, which initially launched Australia into the globalisation nightmare. Essentially, this declaration demanded that we hand over our resources, technology and wealth to so-called third world nations, even though the impoverishment of these nations was entirely due to the corruption cultured and installed by agencies operating behind the façade of the IMF and WB, who then opened the doors to corporate exploitation and destruction of indigenous economies and industries.

There is some debate over whether Whitlam understood the ramifications of the Lima Declaration. ALP stalwarts insist he saw only the helping of third world peoples, and was unaware of the wider agenda. Others point to his intellectual origins in the *London School of Economics*, along with Bob Hawke; the spawning grounds of Fabian

Socialism. Only Gough knows who the real cur was.

Regardless of who was the first traitor, it was Prime Minister Paul Keating who really put the skids under Australia and gave it the big push towards internationally regulated poverty.

Without doubt, as part of his *liberalised media-ownership* deal with Rupert Murdoch, he established the free trade theocracy that marked his regime. His daily speeches were peppered with *economic rationalism*, *privatisation*, *deregulation*, and claims these would make us competitive on the global market; which, considering the extent and richness of our resources, and the three preceding decades of unrivalled prosperity, we already were too competitive for the banker's convenience.

Economic rationalism, a euphemism for stealing the prosperity of Australians and handing it over to foreign corporations and banks; what used to be called *colonialism*, was damaging enough, as was privatisation. But in terms of immediate impact on Australians, **nothing was more devastating than the incremental elimination of protective tariffs**. The impact is still being measured and agonisingly sustained through widespread poverty, family disintegration, depression and suicide.

During a little over two decades, more than two thirds of our manufacturing sector was destroyed and nine-tenths of our family farmers were forced off the land. Measured in personal incomes this represented the loss of three million full time jobs. One million agricultural workers and their families, including those employed in *support and service* industries and enterprises, drifted into cities, providing a devastating impetus to existing urban sprawl.

Amidst a rapidly shrinking manufacturing jobs market, most learned to struggle on part time jobs, often juggling three or four to make ends meet. Family life ground to a halt. A closer look at urban statistics tells a chilling story. Full time working parents today are together earning 10% less than the traditional bread-winning dad of 1960. Yet these are the lucky ones.

In an August 2007 questionnaire survey of a well-proven representative demographic corridor (*Ryan Research*, Sunshine Coast), it was found that 67% had incomes below \$29,000 per year. An average worker brought home \$380 per week, not the \$1000 that government and social scientists claim (statistics published in the three-yearly micro-tabloid *Australian Independent*; copies available).

Of all adult Australians, 54% were found to have incomes below \$15,000; which under prevailing circumstances means these people are dying in slow motion from malnutrition and lack of medical and dental care. This is economically-generated genocide, pure and simple.

For more than half of all adult Australians, the only dental care they can access is to have a tooth pulled. For age pensioners, the waiting list for dentures is several years. For single age pensioners the waiting list for public accommodation is 14 years. Statistically, Aborigines and refugees have no waiting lists at all, if we incorporate the unpalatable reality that Aborigines destroy approximately one quarter of all housing allocated to them. Equal rights in Australia is applied somewhat differently to what the media and Aboriginal lobbies would have us believe.

But these are ultimately the cascading effects of tariff removal. Less direct are the following:

- One million Australian rural and regional refugees drifting to coastal cities; conjoined with pressures exerted by foreign refugees and migrants, and the outcome has been spiralling home rentals, also exacerbated by a period of unnecessarily high interest rates. Many families fortunate enough to have jobs are spending half their pooled incomes on accommodation.
- Swollen urban populations, especially in Brisbane and Toowoomba, have strained the small local water catchments causing a severe water crisis at times of drought. **The arid Australian continent is unsuited to European-style mega-city development and our populations should be dispersed across the land to delimit environmental impact.** Nevertheless, the Queensland Government has invited the ruthless water corporations Bechtel and Vivendi (the same gut-slashing corporations that were thrown out of Bolivia by Evo Morales and his people), and these corporations have manipulated water shortages and are promoting dam construction to flood agricultural and dairy land, and demanding the drinking of treated filtered sewerage. Where water supplies are plentiful, the cost of water has risen by 10% or more; but why? Use of the term *reverse osmosis* in relation to treated sewerage for drinking water is merely slick public relations and junk science, no process of osmosis being involved; simply high pressure filtering which is vulnerable to failure. Although the Queensland Government claims failsafe mechanisms are in place, they said this of fluoridation and whole regions were accidentally subjected to 8000 times the safe amount, and fluoride is toxic enough to kill. Queensland has an infamously corrupt government, led by megalomaniacal Premier, and actual dictator Anna Bligh, who most Queenslanders appear to believe is devoid of all human decency or conscience.
- Flowing from the 'purified' sewerage drinking caper is the problem of what to do with the solid waste thus separated. Engineers have speculated that this contains concentrations of toxic waste from medical and pharmaceutical products and practices, yet this has been sold to farmers as fertiliser, inserting heavy metals into the human food chain. One engineer claimed some waste had been dumped down a disused mine shaft and had since entered the ground water supply.

- Property developers, who collectively contribute 70% of the Queensland state Labour Government's campaign funds, have a slow release land development policy, to keep home prices high.
- Meanwhile, the Bligh Government, in an act of revenue bloodsucking unparalleled in the West, waits some years before advising workers that when they sold their homes due to financial hardship, and eventually saved to purchase another, they now owe a series of taxes that expand with daily interest, effectively doubling their debt. When the desperate families point out they have no money to pay this they are told to sell their homes. An average debt would be around \$6,000, inclusive of interest. Naturally, real estate agents are poised to force what are effectively mortgagee sales. In all, a million Australian families are predicted to lose their homes.
- Because of tariff removals, and subsequent impoverishment of their feeder regions, rural councils are short of funds. Exploiting this opportunity to centralise power, the Queensland Government has forced *local council* amalgamations, which dilute electoral influence and make developers proposals easier to install. This is in spite of a state wide plebescite in which 77% opposed amalgamations. As Bligh was reported "I don't care what the people want." This also goes part way to covering up the reality that local government is not permitted or recognised by the Australian Constitution, and that 67% of Aussies would like to see inevitably corrupt councils done away with (Ref 1984 referendum). **Labor electoral power is dependent upon urban seats, so urban drift intensifies and consolidates their power. Unsurprisingly, all Australian State Governments are now Labor.** Although all ALP Politicians know full well that Australia needs tariff restoration to survive, this may cost many of them their urban power base. (Actually, failure to install tariffs may well prove to be both the ALP and Liberal Coalition's historic undoing).

Because tariff removal eliminated most manufactured goods, fish, fruit and vegetables; which are now imported, the value of Australian imports is around 30% higher than that of exports. Add to this, the value of loan repayments and interest flowing from Rudd's foolish stimulus package, the scenario is rapidly forming in which the IMF will declare Australia bankrupt and will then announce a kindly act of *Debt Forgiveness*, subject to the handing over of all resources to China. The BIS will run the role of enforcer.

Once again, it is the Rothschild/Rockefeller bankers, backed by super lobbyists Frank Lowry and Rupert Murdoch who stifle public debate on the critical issue of tariff restoration.

(5) Removal of workers' protections

From late 1975, there commenced a massive and sustained campaign to depopularise trade unions and to vilify strong union leaders. We were warned daily through the politicians and media that the "unions are destroying the economy", yet the millions of dollars that were sucked out of the Australian economy were held by the David Rockefeller-led banker alliance, and strongly supported in its campaign of union-bashing by media magnate Rupert Murdoch; who by then was closely associated with Rockefeller and the Rothschilds, significantly, all of them politically active Zionists.

The truth about unionism was that Australia's period of greatest ever prosperity was the 1950s and 1960s, and this was also the period of optimum union activity and influence. Although natural resources launched post-war wealth, **the trade unions alone were responsible for Australia's famous era of egalitarian prosperity** and they most certainly did not hamper the production of wealth, as the records unambiguously show.

The trade unions alone were responsible for Australia's vast and prosperous middle class, and a fuming banker elite orchestrated its destruction.

The ultimate union traitor was *Australian Council of Trade Unions* President Bob Hawke, who arranged disastrous union amalgamations that weakened the position of workers and delivered union leadership into the hands of ambitious academics and opportunists. Eventually, as Prime Minister, an ambition he achieved through media ownership deals with Kerry Packer and Rupert Murdoch, he destroyed an already useless Australian Constitution and made assuming the copulatory position before American Presidents a cornerstone of defence and economic policy. If journalist/authors such as John Pilger are to be believed, and there is ample reason to believe him, Hawke was at best a CIA and MI6-approved stooge.

No doubt, Kerry Packer and Rupert Murdoch smirked while Paul Keating cheerfully betrayed his old pal and mentor Bob Hawke, with the same media ownership deal, before betraying his country in a far more spectacular fashion. It was the ALP's Paul Keating who dealt the death blow to worker prosperity, family influence and integrity, and national sovereignty. It was Keating's privatisation, deregulation and economic rationalism that precipitated the journey into poverty that is the current existence of more than half of all Australians. When the gallows are built, it is hoped that Keating has the shortest fall.

In an event of hilarious political irony, John Howard, never known for originality, made a follow-up media ownership deal with Murdoch upon which both his predecessors slithered to power, evoking on-camera rage from Paul Keating. Howard went on to set industrial legislation that would eventually eliminate all meaningful worker's rights (including the SC457 provision, the import of a suspected half a million foreign scabs).

Australia's worst kept secret is that Kevin Rudd assured Rupert Murdoch and Dick Cheney that his grasp of Mandarin and experience as a diplomat in China qualified him to deliver Australia to China as its newest colony (the first conquest being NZ, and its handover being Helen Clark's only claim to fame). Such treasonous ambitions were unlikely to inspire his concern for Aussie workers and families, and in real terms, living conditions worsened considerably under the Rudd regime. Perhaps the real measure of Rudd was his perpetuation of the Liberal Coalition myth that unemployment is low, currently claimed to be a mere 5%. Independent but conservative surveys in 2007 showed unemployment to be at least 19%, although as long ago as 1999 *The Bulletin* measured 23%. One can only speculate upon what knives are drawn in the darkened corridors of power, and the nations still wonders why Kevin Rudd was Prime Minister one day, and political pariah the next; with Julia Gillard the new Prime Minister.

The most likely scenario was that Rudd and Smith unwisely slapped Israel's wrist for its execution of nine passengers on the Turkish-sponsored Aid Flotilla to Palestine; as well they might in the face of a measured 84% disapproval of Israel by the Australian electorate (Survey of August 2010).

In insignificant contrast, Julia Gillard is a pathologically uncritical Zionist, and an inaugural member of the *Israel-Australia Leadership Forum*; something two former Australian ambassadors to Israel, Ross Burns and Peter Rodgers have publicly condemned. Completing the picture of typical Zionist cronyism and corruption, Gillard's sex-mate is also employed by Melbourne developer Zionist Albert Dadon. Gillard will never embarrass Israel. She has been rewarded.

The political implications of this is that, accommodating Zionist control of our banks and media, Australia is now effectively a colony of Israel. And as one third of China's banks (or their subsidy funds) are also Zionist owned, this sharing of colonisation should not provoke conflict, especially as China now owns a leading French bank; once a shimmering Rothschild possession.

The 2010 survey broke brand new demographic ground, discovering that unemployment is now beyond empirical measure, identifying as it did by door-knocking that 14% were unemployed, but some 13% were simultaneously unemployed and homeless.

With chilling cynicism, the Federal Government has quietly propagated a rumour that Child Protection authorities will confiscate the children of people living rough and have them fostered. Thus it is that the homeless have now dispersed throughout the countryside; living in sheds on farms, in their cars, or camping in the bush; terrified that Child Protection will take their children.

(Several landowner report they are receiving up to two requests a day from passersby wanting to live in outbuildings).

What is clear is that worker's incomes and protections are eroding even faster under Julia Gillard than under the Liberal National Coalition, and with actual average worker incomes of \$650 per week reported by the cynical and cruel Gillard Government as \$1200.

(6) Removal of consumer influence and rights

In the 1970s a series of porous barriers were erected ostensibly to protect consumers, which in fact assisted consumer exploitation and negated consumer influence and responsibility (ie *caveat emptor*).

The ACCC (Australian Competition and Consumer Commission) has publicly been accused of collaborating in consumer abuse, famously described by Sydney University's Dr Evan Jones as facilitating the criminal exploitation of family farmers and small businesses by *National Australia Bank* (NAB), citing eight documented examples. And where business-to-business financial exploitation occurred, such matters flowed to ASIC (Australian Securities Investment Commission), and the handover process was designed by a person who, as Dr Jones so delicately put it, *should be taken out the back and shot*. As has been concluded by all observers genuinely championing the rights of consumers, the ASIC does not have the skills to do its job, and most certainly does not want to acquire these.

The FIRB (Foreign Investment Review Board) has the primary role of protecting Australian land and resources from being bought out by foreign interests, circumstances that would quickly render consumers helpless. Even a decade ago, the FIRB was approving forty-nine of every fifty land sale cases that crossed its desk. Today it is essentially a rubber stamp for bargain basement sale of the Australian continent, with up to one third of targeted residential land being sold to foreign investors.

But the ultimate failure is demonstrated by the extent of grocery monopoly in Australia. Whereas Americans are worried about a 40% monopoly, and the UK is reportedly about to legislate to dismantle a 50% duopoly (don't hold your breath, Brits), the Australian Coles/Woolworths duopoly is 80%, yet the ACCC smiles benignly at plans to eliminate even more competition. Citizens protesting against new and unwanted installations in their towns and villages are confronted by a hundred-strong police line, as in Maleny, Queensland. The same is about to

happen to the equally spirited town of Mullumbimby, near Byron Bay, although first battle blood went to the doughty Mullumbimbians.

Labelling of dangerous food additives is another serious problem, with government refusing to demand clear and unambiguous information to guide consumers; especially parents. Deregulation has been imposed on consumer interests even where this can cause ill-health or even death to children, as with GM foods, Aspartame and the vaccine killer components ethylmercury, formaldehyde and injected squalene. The latter adjuvant will, without doubt, slowly kill tens of thousands, or even millions, given time.

Government is moving in the opposite direction, aided and abetted by the UN's WHO, there were recent plans to force under pain of imprisonment, vaccination for the relatively mild H1N1 swine flu. In 1976, this measure killed 30 Americans and paralysed many more, with an initial compensation bill of 1.4 billion, which eventually rose to 4 billion.

This time around there will be no compensation for the largely untested vaccine as the US has legislated freedom from litigation for all pharmaceutical corporations, even if negligence is proven. That there is indifference to human suffering was evidenced by the delivery of 72 kilos of bird flu-contaminated vaccines to several European countries; only the conscientious checking of a Czech technician preventing thousands, possibly millions of deaths. Baxter, the pharmaceutical corporation responsible, has since been given permission to produce a H1N1 vaccine.

Our online *TRB Chronicle* alerted other Internet information outlets and, within hours of the H1N1 virus being identified in Mexico, much of Australia was already forewarned of the dangers of an untested vaccine being thrust on the market. Meanwhile, the Government of Poland decided not to purchase the vaccine, yet Poland had an identical death rate to countries that vaccinated widely; which exposed the H1N1 vaccine as useless for flu protection purposes, but with accompanying high health risks, with at least five Australian children dying as a result. Happily, 75% of Australians refused the useless vaccine and Nicola Roxon, who was warned of the risks, wasted \$131 million dollars on a dangerous and unworking vaccine.

There is a deepening suspicion that some vaccines are responsible for the dramatically increasing incidence of autism, from one in many thousands, to one in 120 children. Note, they are not born with autism, but develop this after vaccines. Other increasing illnesses, such as attention deficit disorder, are also being blamed on vaccines, but the Rudd Government continues to refuse to initiate independent Australian screening of vaccines or other suspect pharmaceuticals.

Little wonder that *Agenda 21*, a supposed programme to exterminate 80% of the world's population, is now increasingly being taken seriously by even conservative observers.

Meanwhile, *Codex Alimentarius* aims to outlaw all natural remedies and force the toxic products of the pharmaceutical industry upon us. Clearly, consumer's rights will not only continue to erode, but will soon cease to exist.

(7) The privatisation of national resources and publicly-owned utilities and services

In spite of absolute majority electoral opposition to the sale of Telstra (76%), the politicians went ahead anyway. Democracy is truly dead.

Privatisation, a euphemism for the conversion of public resources to private bank accounts, has taken our airports, our roads and bridges, our water and electricity, our postal system, our railways, most of our schools, many of our hospitals, our transport systems, the Commonwealth Bank, and mail services; and there is an obvious intention to eventually sell all national resources, natural and infrastructural.

This will put Australians at the mercy of the global bankers and mega-corporations, who have already demonstrated their willingness to create poverty in every country they control. Although this is denied, and of course there is simply no way to prove the alacrity of political party whistleblowers messages, there is evidently a long term commitment to privatise police, the defence forces, all hospitals and schools, and welfare.

Unemployment benefit will become *unemployment insurance*, the premiums of which few workers will be able to afford. Age pensions will undoubtedly be suspended due to a government revenue crisis of its own making, and these will never be resumed at even current deficient levels.

Considerable public relations investment prepares Aussies for this event; generally in the form of proselytising the myth that the younger generation is being stuck with the burden of financing pensions for the ageing baby boomers. The reality could not be more different. This is the story of a different kind of privatisation... outright theft on a multi-billion dollar scale; the appropriation of an entire age pension scheme. We don't even know

where the money went.

The history of the Age Pension Fund is not-well-known and it goes back to 1945, when Prime Minister Ben Chifley became aware of grinding poverty amongst the elderly. He was shocked, and a referendum was put to the people of Australia; and the outcome was a **welfare fund contributed to by every Aussie worker, at a rate of 7.5% of gross income** (at the time, in Australia and New Zealand, one and sixpence in the pound). This was a fund that was very specifically **owned by the workers** and declared as such; a fund in which government could not interfere; and from which it could not even borrow. Money could only be paid out as an indexed and **non-means tested pension** upon retirement at age 65 (60 for women).

To ensure the public was reminded of its investment, and so no government could interfere with the Fund, the amount appeared at the top of every income tax form and was calculated **before** income tax. Actuaries have calculated that this fund should currently be yielding at \$6000 per year more than the current age pension.

Posthumously, former Prime Minister Robert Menzies should be tried for the original theft; perhaps the greatest heist in human history. Former Prime Minister Paul Keating needs to answer the long-stalled question, where is this fund? This is a fund that he illegally, and in breach of the constitutional sacrosanctity of referenda, imposed **means testing**; on worker's own savings.

Does the current government know about this? It would certainly explain why on 29 July 2009 the Minister for Aging Jenny Macklin diverted attention from the 1946 fund, by erecting a monument in Canberra to the 1909 age pension scheme which, as she mentioned over-casually, "**was means tested, just like today's version**". Nice try Minister but you are still in possession of stolen funds and imposing illegal means-testing. Apart from ongoing complicity in the original theft, Macklin is now also guilty of conspiring to pervert the course of justice. With her perennial pop-eyed air of blond innocence, Macklin will also require a short rope; although this is because her head would otherwise part from her rotund body.

When Aussies finally toss these criminal politicians out of power, there will be a lot of politicians and senior public servants serving life sentences; or if some 70% of Australian poll participants get their way, ignominiously loitering on the gallows.

The crime continues to grow. Australia's age pension fund belongs to the people **and the 7.5% of gross income is still being contributed by all workers**, and this must be reclaimed and reinstated.

Most hospitals are now private; or if public, run as the milch cow for tens of thousands of parasitical administration staff and private medicos, all whom absorb trumpeted increases in funding that politicians claim will go to address the public nurse and doctor shortage.

70% of taxpayer's education funding now goes to private schools. Taxpayer-funded university facilities are clogged by foreign students who will graduate and take Aussie jobs.

Little more can be said about the vast and cumulative consequences of privatisation of Australia's resources, except to say it was an abrogation of democracy and a betrayal of all Australians. Cynical politicians believe they got away with the theft, but they are wrong.

It was once observed that there is immeasurably more intelligence and knowledge outside of government than within it. Those in power should dwell on this. Monumentally more astute minds than any politician possesses are now ranged against them; and the politicians are vastly outnumbered.

(8) The deregulation, privatisation and politicisation of government departments and public servants

Until 1982, the Australian Public Service was independent of party politics and was devoid of commercial manipulation. Its function was to implement legislation on an ongoing basis and administer to the maintenance of government and its services.

For the most part, research was independent and objective, and decisions were made purely on the basis of criteria that were long accepted and proven effective. Occasionally, research results were skewed to favour departmental interests, and the odd intrusive Minister was cleverly snowed, but for the most part the public was effectively protected from corruption, cronyism and nepotism.

Personnel were employed primarily on the basis of essential literacy, numeracy and good character; with due recognition of special skills and qualifications.

There were disadvantages in maintaining the public service. There was a tendency towards favouring proposals that expanded the relevant division or department. There was a degree of conservatism that eyed innovation with suspicion. But in the balance of things, this was a small price to pay for zero corruption.

There was one practice that drove observers (and not a few public servants) into a frenzy of distraction and that was the quite inexplicable tradition of penalising sections, divisions or departments whose programmes had not entirely exhausted their budget allocation; or who had pragmatically trimmed excesses. Thus it was that in June of each year there was an orgy of office equipment purchases, plant and equipment, which ensured that budget allocations in the next financial year were not excised by the amount not spent in the preceding year. This game engendered considerable hostility towards the public service, critics made all the more hostile by their own experiences of public service 'run-around'; terminally evident in public service towns such as Darwin and Canberra. Why this practice was not ended by Treasury is not understood. Or perhaps as the real founders of the Australian Democrats, John Siddons (Sidchrome) and John Cumming (former top Australian advertising agency) put it, they formed the party when they discovered that the Australian Treasury was run by foreign bankers. Reforming Treasury Regulations was evidently not seen to be in their interests. (Contrary to popular belief, Don Chip joined the Democrats seven months after it was established; and according to Cumming, Chip was their biggest mistake. Siddons left politics disillusioned, and Cumming started *The Great Australians Party* in Noosa. It's membership eventually joined the *Tariff Restoration Bloc*).

What is certain is that such public ill-feeling, along with legendary recollections of council workers leaning on shovels, contributed significantly to public support for privatisation. It should be noted here, as it has not been elsewhere, that citizens envisaged the end job (ie labour or pen-pushing) to be contracted to private enterprise, but administration to remain under public ownership and control. What transpired bore no relationship to expectations.

Nevertheless, there was a popular, but mistaken, belief that private enterprise was more efficient and economical, invariably the product of ideological and subjective overviews. In point of fact, a regulatory public service kept private enterprise in line, contributing to the kind of efficiency approved by the public and critics of the public service. As every consumer and every employee of the private sector is aware, bungling and incompetence and inefficiency are equally common in private enterprise. But corruption and dishonesty were extremely rare within the public service prior to 1982. This cannot be said of today's PS.

In 1981-82, public servants who believed their positions to be secure, were suddenly ordered to apply for their own jobs. In one fell swoop everything changed.

Research papers and reports were now edited to remove inconvenient truths or politically sensitive outcomes. Invasive and increasingly powerful lobbies were suddenly a threat to every government worker's capacity to feed his or her family and service the home mortgage. Insecurity abounded.

Public service officers who, only a couple of years before, were known for their stellar performance, found themselves counting beans. And officers for whom performance integrity was inextricably linked to their own self esteem, faded out of government employment altogether; unable to endure the grasping sycophancy and anti-ethical opportunism that employment for government had become (this author was one of these). Others were alienated by UN-imposed ideology that made a mockery of reality and dramatically exacerbated problems in agriculture, industrial relations and safety, social welfare, education, health services and Aboriginal development.

Within a decade, frontline personnel in schools and hospitals were being reduced in favour of bloated administrations. Judges and magistrates of appalling incompetence and unsuitability were appointed to the bench by political parties in a spirit of *quid pro quo*. Famous legal minds, especially high court judges, were forced to retire.

Decisions with staggering consequences were made in spite of contra-indicating evidence; expedience being the sole criteria. Nowhere was this more apparent than in the realm of Aboriginal affairs. Australians would be shocked to read the real findings of the *Royal Commission of Enquiry into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody*, which stated:

In spite of the Commissioner's report, that...

- "The conclusions reached in this report will not accord with the expectations of those who anticipated that the findings of foul play would be inevitable and frequent. That is not the conclusion which the Commissioners reached. As reported in the individual case reports which have been released, Commissioners did not find that deaths were the product of deliberate violence or brutality by the Police or Prison Officers". (1.2.2)
- "The work of the Commission has established that Aboriginal people in custody do not die at a greater rate than non-Aboriginal people in custody".

The Rupert Murdoch-controlled media, supported by social science and 'human rights' bureaucrats, has behaved as though police and prison officers were in fact guilty; destroying many careers in the process.

Virtually all former public servants with an oversight of the original circumstances, including a Federal NT Senator, had questioned the legitimacy of the Royal Commission when it was first announced.

Another failure of objectivity was the continuing political and media pantomime over Aboriginal petrol sniffing, although this had been very effectively resolved in a pioneering effort back in 1979 (Bamyili), a project also delivering cessation of all juvenile crime and even a discontinuance of adult offences. This programme, although outstandingly successful in the community of implementation, threatened many lucrative careers and perks. Alienated were: urban part Aboriginal opportunists, missionaries, pseudo-community development personnel, social workers, and career pundits such as celebrity coroners, politicians, NGO CEOs, and pretender Aboriginal leaders. The list of political carrion feeding on the 'black dollar' appears endless; but most threatened of all was the immense profitability of travel allowance for magistrates on the farcical 'community courts' circuit.

Then came "*The Intervention*"; entirely unnecessary, if programmes adapted from the original Bamyili-Burunga experience had been instituted in all genuinely traditional communities.

And who can forget the "Stolen Generation", yet another political exercise benchmarked by greed, UN lobbying and political opportunism. And again, the prime casualties have been thousands of Aboriginal children, abused and neglected, **but now unrescued**, because no white social worker will risk being vilified for 'stealing a second generation'.

Yet in the NT the welfare files meticulously record the circumstances of neglect that resulted in **part-Aboriginal** children being placed in the care of the Director of Welfare; or being surrendered by broken-hearted tribal mothers whose *promised husbands* imposed the devastating ultimatum of abandoning their children or being exiled by the clans. It is hardly surprising that the parents of these babies in later decades claimed their children were stolen from them, especially when their distraught and angry now-adult children demanded to know how they could have done it.

Hundreds of former public servants could set the record straight on all interventions and surrenders, but in these times of dissenting voices being imprisoned, few will risk their families, mortgages and reputations to volunteer unwanted knowledge.

Is it coincidence that the human rights bureaucrats who hijacked and rewrote the original book by Barbara (then) Cummings, and who promoted the Stolen Generation phenomenon in opposition to the recommendations that emerged from the 40,000 English children and the tens of thousands of other Australian children forced apart from their mothers; *owe their power to the United Nations?*

This is, of course, the same United Nations that spawned the *World Trade Organisation (ex-GATT/GATS)*; the *World Bank*; the *International Monetary Fund*, which sets nations up for unrepayable debt, which is then 'forgiven' in exchange for free access to national resources and labour. Then we have the *World Health Organisation*, which under the guise of vaccinations, has sterilised tens of thousands of black women in Brazil and other countries; and has classed a mild bout of H1N1 swine flu as a *grade six pandemic*, effectively authorising national health authorities to foist vaccines that will be useless for most of the recipients, but which will paralyse or kill an unknown number.

Contrary to the promulgated aura of goodness and altruism shining from the UN, it is a source of social destruction around the world. As researcher Engdahl pointed out when he traced the WHO's genesis, its *Family Planning Association* was spawned by the same eugenics supporters who funded Nazi efforts.

Through GATT, GATTS, the Lima Declaration, and the WTO, it was the UN that imposed the very deregulation that caused so much misery and social disintegration; and imposed removal of tariffs that dissolved three million full time jobs in Australia, decimating family farms and the manufacturing sector and allied industries. It was the UN that foisted privatisation, which has delivered so many of our national resources, jobs and prosperity overseas. It was the UN that demanded we adopt economic rationalism, which has made us vulnerable to global financial meltdown, and the economic collapse to come.

And, of course, the entities that created the UN in the first place are the same global bankers who are manipulating finance and investment today.

Abandonment of accountability and social responsibility has been the product of deregulating the public service and, ultimately, the betrayal of the Australian people. Whether we are talking about local councils, urban development, trade standards, financial accountability, consumer protection, child protection, or even the immediate material welfare of Australian citizens rendered unemployed by the removal of tariffs; it is the bottom 70% of Aussies who are suffering.

Perhaps the most unconscionable act of all was John Howard's 2007 presentation of 3.2% unemployment when the independently measured reality was 19% (published *Australian Independent*). And then rubbing salt in the wound by declaring an Era of Prosperity; causing 4000 anguished breadwinners to commit suicide. Very aware voters punished Howard, severely.

Under the following Rudd Government unemployment was conceded to be 6%, while urban Australians are suddenly aware the real level is around 23%. New PM Julia Gillard is not expected to change anything of significance.

There is willing collaboration by academics and social scientists, with the unemployment lies formulated by the Australian Bureau of Statistics and Centrelink. One wonders how these people sleep at night. Even the once-chaste CSIRO has been reduced to the Government's propaganda wing for Monsanto's GM crops and the UN's IPCC now disproved AGW theories.

These are the far-reaching impacts of deregulation of the public service, and its concomitant politicisation and corruption.

(9) The suborning and corporatisation of all social institutions

Australian political analyst Donald Horne, in his celebrated 1964 book *The Lucky Country* (yes, this is the genesis of this phrase) observed the 'moribund' nature of the political parties and suggested that the people were protected instead by their social institutions: the *Returned Services Association*, church organisations, service clubs, judiciary, trade unions, the Commonwealth Bank and charitable organisations.

And he was right. In a climate of booming resource markets, the tariff protections of the 1950s and 1960s provided optimum productivity and wealth for Australia, and the trade unions ensured that workers and their families got a fair share of the national pie. This **union-imposed egalitarianism** was so successful that the difference between the very poorest and wealthiest was a factor of 13:1; compared to Russia of the same period in which a general earned 500 times more than a private. Poverty in Australia was so rare as to virtually not exist.

Yet by 1976 the Unions had been taken over by academic and opportunistic elements, largely as a result of the Vietnam War. This assertion requires elaboration:

Although it does not accord with the romantic history of the era, the mystique and charisma attached to leading protesters overshadowed the real motivational driver of the protest era... sexual psychology of life in universities. Put bluntly, if a male student was not part of the anti-war movement he was uncool and remained celibate. This was a powerful incentive for legal and other humanities students to grow their hair and to march. To be a socialist was, of course, mandatory.

Nevertheless, Australian and New Zealand university students were historically and essentially elitist and classist, and on the rare occasions in which they aspired to much-vaunted student/worker solidarity, interaction provoked absolute revulsion at the reality of tasteless and crude workers, who were utterly devoid of the dialectical analysis and Marxist philosophy that students so reasonably anticipated. Moreover, our union blokes did not look anything like the workers in the World Socialist Trotskyist hand book.

The truth was, few such students had much idea of what unions, workers, (or work) or the Vietnam War was about, and had even less idea about socialism as their European counterparts understood this. Disregarding these minor obstacles to entry into the proletariat club, the only social culture they were free to accommodate was *popular left wing* of the then fashionable kibbutzim clique. Thus disoriented, upon graduation ambient peer pressure drafted them towards the trade union and labour movements; which in Australia meant the Australian Labor Party (ALP) and the Australian Council of Trade Unions (ACTU).

As the ACTU was already in the grip of the CIA and MI6, *vis a vis* Bob Hawke and his union amalgamation programme, their drift towards elite leadership of the workers gained irresistible and heady momentum.

By 1980, few union secretaries had worker origins and, henceforth, workers were effectively without representation; and **ALP Governments generated a sudden widening of the gap between rich and poor; the most significant demographic inversion in Australia's history.**

Incredibly, many Aussies continue to be guided by that hoary but obsolete old wisdom, "Labor is the working man's party".

(10) Destruction of Cultural Values

Following the 1946 Rockefeller creation of the United Nations, a globalised programme was launched in which universities, national school teacher training colleges, and entire education systems, were dramatically modified to ensure **severing of the intergenerational transfer of values**. This occurred in conjunction with parallel and subtle public relations and media campaigns, that simultaneously disempowered parents; reinforced by legislation purportedly to protect homosexuals, children, blacks, cripples, migrants and women.

These actions served several purposes: The first was that the influence of parents was increasingly neutralised.

Parents are notorious sources of sales resistance on behalf of their children... the lucrative youth market that the garment, fast food, music retail, and toy corporations, lusted after. Secondly, it enabled the schools and media to educate (indoctrinate) children to accept new values more convenient for industry and the state; and, thirdly, it facilitated the elimination of cultural rights and wrongs, these being replaced with wealth and success at one end of the scale and deprivation at the other.

For children, this translated as *winner*s and *loser*s; the replacement values.

Fourthly, it enabled a successful attack against the Family Unit itself, the source and apex of all social continuity, values and integrity.

By 1980, parents were learning to their horror that their children were being taught that parents cannot discipline their own children; that their values were 'wrong' and redundant, and that school teachers knew better. By stages, the role of parents was overtaken by the state: by teachers, police, social workers, counsellors, advertisers, rock singers and pop messiahs, doctors, pharmacists, and miscellaneous public servants.

School teachers smugly bewailed that they were required to be both teacher and social worker; although the community at no stage sanctioned this arrogant presumption; not that teachers were in any way qualified for either role, or even comprehended what these were. The new teacher training had eliminated a century's accumulated knowledge and skills surrounding childhood development, and replaced this with indoctrination and dumbing down.

What teachers were in fact doing was colonising the parental role while Rockefeller's radical feminists forced ever-greater unemployment amongst male breadwinners; and through the illicit power of lobbying, forced wives and mothers to replace men. Meanwhile, the campaigned destruction of the union movement, initially led by PM Bob Hawke, enabled employers to continually force wages downwards, terminate sick pay and paid holidays, and (by 2009) generally reduce family incomes by 10% even for *both full time working* parents.

In a series of hate-driven slashes at traditional roles, women became the prime casualty of the rad fem's ravages inasmuch as their natural pride in motherhood, parenting, and role as nurturer of the family fell victim to the daily media attacks by extremists and Rupert Murdoch's immature journalists, who treated mums with scorn and derision. The toll this took on the average woman's self-esteem was soon exposed in the apologetic and grovelling admission of once-proud mothers that "I'm *only* a mum".

Society is now reaping the disaster of alienated youth, deprived of 'only a mum' in their formative years, as both parents were forced to join the workforce to cope with the steadily disproportionate cost of living.

As awareness of the depth of the tragedy permeates the community, a vast tide of anger is rising amongst both parents and grandparents that will one day visit angst on feminists, and which will give the word pariah a new and deadly meaning.

In case the message has escaped readers, pre-1970 feminists rightly fought for equal rights and equal opportunity. The Rockefeller and Murdoch supported takeover was 'manned' by elitists who were interested only in more power for women, even if this destroyed society.

(11) The Drug Lord's War on Drugs

Weekly, without fail, we have listened on the episodic and spun-till-it's-giddy news how in the noble causes of *Democracy and Freedom*, the *War Against Terror* and the *Rights for Women*; the *Coalition of the Willing* is driving the evil Taliban into the bleakness of the Afghanistan mountain fastness.

Then President Bush's Secretary of State, Condoleezza Rice, told us the Taliban were financing their *Muslim War Against the West* with heroin production, which is also being used to destroy the lives of our young.

This is jingoism at its most monumental, and if truth is indeed the first casualty of war, what we are now witnessing is media pragmatism elevated to the new art of political surrealism.

Perhaps the time has come to reload the palette and present a more realistic albeit equally colourful cameo of the drug trade. As we do so, we will provide more detail than is actually required in order to bridge the yawning abyss in Australian Asian/Pacific history, which prevents our citizens from understanding the full significance of contemporary military politics.

As far as is known, this story has never been told before in Australia.

To quote former Australian journalist, Dennis Ross BA: *During the French war in Indochina (1948-54) French intelligence operations were funded by the opium trade, with sales operated by a secret society of river pirates, the Binh Xuyen. After the French defeat and withdrawal in 1954 America took up the torch in South Vietnam to fight communism. The Americans also took over the French intelligence system and its method of funding, the opium trade; in collaboration with the Binh Xuyen.*

With the US CIA organising the torture and death of some 20,000 Vietnamese civilian men, women and children, suspected of being nationalist sympathisers; (*Operation Phoenix*); funding from Congress would have been unobtainable so the drug trade financed what became ever-expanding *Black Operations*.

To facilitate control; and in breach of the 1953 Geneva Accord demanding free democratic elections in Vietnam; the US set up South Vietnam's puppet government under President Ngo Dinh Diem, previously a 16 year resident of America. In 1955, his brother, Ngo Dinh Nhu, now Interior Minister took over security and secret police, as well as the former French intelligence opium funding operation in conjunction with the *French Union Corse*, a secret gangster society; and the Chinese *Green Gang*. With the two brother's assassinations, Air Marshal Nguyen Cao Ky, who happened to control the transport aircraft that flew opium from its source in Laos into Vietnam, assumed control of South Vietnam.

The source of opium was the fields in Laos, Northern Burma, and Northern Thailand; the so-called *Golden Triangle*. Meanwhile, in an earlier event, the leader of the *Green Gang*, "Big Ears" Du, had adopted Chiang Kai Shek as a son. Their political entity, the *Kuomintang*, which ruled China until driven out by the Communists in 1949, established links with the then newly-formed CIA in Taiwan, and with the *Golden Triangle* operation. From Taiwan, the CIA funded an airline, *Air America*, and simultaneously contracted work to a Chinese counterpart airline, *Air Asia*, owned by a group of Chinese most prominent of whom was Mrs Anna Chennault, the wife of US General Claire Chennault who led the famous "Flying Tigers" fighter pilots against the Japanese Air Force in China during World War II. Anna Chennault was related to Chiang Kai Shek through his wife, and was a daughter of the tycoon T.V. Soong, whose other daughters had married Sun Yat Sen, former leader of the Republic of China.

The centre of the drug trade in South East Asia was the *Green Gang* whose contacts spread to Japan's former military commanders, and through them to the *Yamaguchi-Gumi* (Japan's underworld), and to the West coast of the USA with its large Chinese and Nisei Japanese populations. The *Green Gang* had developed contacts with the Japanese military during World War II and these former Japanese commanders, many of whom were *Class A war criminals released from death sentence and from prison by US General Willoughby, were encouraged to form an anti-communist political party—the Liberal Democratic Party (LDP)* which held government in Japan until September 2009. (As a corollary, this was the main obstacle to victims of the Japanese Army in World War II getting compensation or even an apology for what they suffered. These are the same Japanese politicians who Australian Prime Minister, John Howard, signed a treaty with in March, 2007; a treaty which permits armed Japanese troops to march through Australian city streets).

Significantly, in 1960 John F Kennedy was elected as President of the USA, helped to power by his dad's Mafia friend, Sam Giacana. After 1960, the opium grown in South East Asia was refined into morphine and further, into heroin, for distribution in the Western world. In Thailand, where the military and police controlled the drug trade, heroin was exported through the CIA-owned and operated *Tiger Airlines*, destined for Saigon, America, Europe and Australia.

Cocaine is another drug trade run by the CIA, a production and distribution franchise whose Colombia manager, Manual Noriega, unsuccessfully tried to take over and was until recently incarcerated in Florida, as punishment and a warning to the overly ambitious.

The third most important source of *Black Ops* funding has been Afghanistan, whose poppy fields funded the arming and training of the Afghan resistance against the Russian Invasion; the most significant product of which was *al Qaida*.

Disastrously for CIA funding, opium/heroin is forbidden by the Qoran and the Taliban eliminated all the poppy fields in their geographical area of control. This happened at the same time as the Thais successfully repressed *Golden Triangle* production. Threatened with economic extinction, and by now the world's largest and entirely autonomous criminal drug organisation, the CIA planned (two months before 9/11) and initiated the invasion and occupation of Afghanistan and this region now supplies 93% of the global heroin market.

Thus, CIA control of the global drug industry has sustained its own illicit funding requirements; which have enabled the CIA to undermine democratic or popular governments; to assassinate or vilify individuals or organisations who threaten its power base; or who otherwise promote democratic government. Control is not limited to US organisations, but is extended to all susceptible government departments in all countries. What is not known is the full extent of Zionist influence in the CIA, but it is thought to be significant.

In Australia, in 1979, it was pointed out to the Commonwealth and NT Drug Squads that the practice of hounding users and local distributors of heroin was futile and that a more effective method would be to put together a team of expert small business people and accountants; whose knowledge-bases were appropriately broad, and to have them examine enterprises whose profits or expansion did not match market conditions. A cray-fisherman, who bought large blocks of units following one of the poorest catch seasons on record, was considered a typical example. Whether the failure to take up this option was stymied by incompetence amongst the police hierarchy, or by endemic corruption at the top, must remain a matter for speculation. Nevertheless, only the naïve would believe the *War on Drugs* is anything but a culling exercise to protect the official marketers. In data leaked by dissenters within the CIA/DEA, it has become apparent that Australian heroin is now streamed through the military. Signature mineral contaminants in local heroin mark its source.

Organised by Zionists, heroin and cocaine are sold to destroy western society from within, and to finance the Secret Police (CIA and MI6) whose role it is to eliminate democracy and those who threaten to install *government by the people*. Murder is routine. (Several MI6 operatives resigned *en masse* in protest and maintain an Internet site that condemns these crimes).

The CIA is responsible for dozens of small plane crashes around the world, particularly in Latin America and Africa, which killed national leaders, dangerous whistleblowers, and celebrities who supported *people power*. For less prestigious targets, the Zionist element has tended to abandon traditional shooting and poisonings and their enemies now die from falls from high buildings... more specifically, the eleventh floor.

However, the drug trade, developed as a means to an end, appears to have burgeoned out of control, and profits and power for middle management are now the prime objective. Concomitant with this development, it appears that an internal revolt is occurring and Zionist agents and informers are currently being arrested. This may have been sparked by recent exposure of the reason why Israel bombed a US high tech spy ship, the Liberty, in international waters off the coast of Egypt in 1967. Although Lyndon Johnson ordered a complete cover-up of the five hour raid in which some three hundred US sailors were killed, it was believed important that an intelligence report intercepted by the crew remain top secret. The substance of this was that **Israel engineered the so-called Arab attack of the Six Day War to justify a broad expansion of its borders**; one in a long line of lethal false flag adventures.

It is now speculated that personnel in the US Navy and CIA are outraged at this betrayal and are now determined to rid the US of all Israeli influence. The sailor who made the heroic mayday call from the Liberty recently received America's highest award, and the Commander who was ordered to organise the blanket cover-up offered open testimony to the world, saying his conscience was now clear and he "did not give a flying fuck what they did to him".

Indications are that the Army and Air Force are as militaristically gung ho as ever and, already, deep division within the military is widening.

International outrage over the recent attacks on Gaza, including the murder of 400 children, and the attacks against the aid flotilla in which five of the nine people killed were shot in the back of the head, or in the back; has dramatically turned the tide against Israel and, consequently the Zionist grip on world governments. These, including Australia's government, face rising anger from the electorates, escalation of which will result in an Israel without income or allies except America, Germany and UK; and even these allies are waning. Given the mounting global resistance against the Zionist bankers, these hostile influences will precipitate the collapse of the Israel/Zionist organisational structure, and an end to the international drug trade as we know it.

(12) The Creation of Terrorism

Only one nation has been tried by the UN and found guilty of state terrorism and that country is the US. Americans simply ignore the judgement, if they are aware of it at all. Funny that. After all, the UN lives in New York.

America postures as the defender of freedom and democracy. The behaviour of its government tells a very different story:

Since 1946, 44 sovereign countries have been invaded and occupied by the US Government; and their citizens intimidated, and many tortured and killed, whilst 170 nations host US troops and social control agencies operating from at least 370 military bases.

Any government that installs genuine democracy is soon the subject of a CIA funded and organised *coup... d'état* or otherwise. Honduras and Haiti are the most recent examples. **These subjugated nations are the sources of terrorism.** What Obama or Sarkozy, Gillard or Merkel call terrorists, are simply victims fighting back.

Global terrorism as we know it is guided by the global bankers, Zionists all. Enabling funds are sourced from bank profits, taxes and drug sales, as outlined above. Afghanistan is the classic theatre of terrorism, its victims its citizens.

The Murdoch media does not permit the truth to be published, that the fundamentalist and literal-minded Taliban closed down all drug manufacturing, including alcohol and opium/heroin, these being forbidden by the Quran.

The US invasion of Afghanistan was a means of restoring the lucrative heroin trade, and establishing control over Caspian oil and gas pipeline routes to the Indian Ocean. Talk of defeating the Taliban, as allies of al Qaeda, is mere pretext. In fact, a skeptical Taliban offered to hand over bin Laden providing he went to trial in the UN International Criminal Court. The White House refused.

Retired CIA officials have since admitted the bin Laden confessions were fakes, and 70% of Americans now believe the official 9/11 explanation is false, leading many to doubt that bin Laden was the culprit; there being

no actual proof that he was, or even reasonable evidence. It is held by some that Saudi extremists were funded by Israel's Mossad, to ensure a continued US presence in the Middle East, particularly in Iraq, Iran, Pakistan and Afghanistan. This is consistent with several other known Mossad strikes in which Arabs were set up as patsys.

What is known is that talk of bin Laden's vast wealth was but the conjecture of a single individual in US intelligence. In reality, Bin Laden did not at the time of 9/11 have the financial, infrastructural or organisational wherewithal. He was, in fact, seriously ill with renal failure.

Nevertheless, such incidents have enabled governments to convince the more gullible elements of their populations that every nation is threatened by Muslim terrorists, and so critical civil and legal rights have been removed.

The invasion and occupation of Iraq, and Israel's genocide of Palestinians, has certainly inspired resentment against the west, especially in those who lost family members to torture, shooting and bombings.

In spite of daily trumpeting the phrase *Freedom and Democracy*, America nurtures dictatorships, corruption, torture, death squads and repression. And because ever since the suspension of democracy in 1975 Australia has been a puppet state of the US, Australians can be abducted and renditioned to torture facilities indefinitely, with no hope of rescue by the Australian Government. Although Senator McClelland has attempted to silence one of the victims with a bribe and the parallel promise of serious reprisals if the truth leaks out, too many people know the truth and several whistleblowers have contacted Wikileaks.

The Chapelle Corby and Bali Nine incidents were without doubt precedent-creating exercises in permanent rendition and execution; at least until the Government is able to legally torture its own citizens. That this happens anyway is already known. A citizen abducted off a main city street by the Australian Federal Police was tortured in a transit van with dry suffocation techniques for six hours, until it was realised they had abducted the wrong man. As the victim described his experience, for six agonising hours he thought he was about to die from lack of breath. Since the media announced litigation had been launched there has been no word as to outcome. Having witnessed the ruthlessness and contempt for truth and justice exhibited by the Australian Federal Police during the Hanef debacle, we can presume the plaintiff was *paid off with conditions of non-disclosure*; a euphemism for contemporary official bribery with threats.

Has the regime of phoney terrorism secured its objectives? Up to a point, yes.

Few Aussies are now prepared to speak out, especially since the last to try, Pauline Hanson, was imprisoned; even though 94% agreed with her maiden speech to Parliament in which she condemned free trade and globalisation, Aboriginal welfare dependency, and the Policy of Multiculturalism for which no Aussies were consulted and even fewer wanted.

Ironically, the famous maiden speech was written not by Hanson, but by John Pasquerelli who was provided on loan by *Australia First Party*. Pasquerelli was the one competent strategist in the *One Nation Party*, and who Hanson later sacked. Hanson herself never grasped the essentials of politics, or even supported genuine democracy. The Liberals and ALP joint programme of ridicule and vilification was entirely unnecessary as her own rising megalomania would have caused her to fall on her own sword anyway. Regardless, democratic dissent was quelled in Australia five years before 9/11.

But what has happened in Australia as a product of the *War Against Terrorism*, is that widespread dissent has gone entirely underground. Moreover, organisations that would normally be at each other's philosophical throats have become united over the need to restore national sovereignty and prosperity through reconstruction of tariffs: the common cause championed by the *Tariff Restoration Bloc* (TRB).

So, an unintended consequence of government control by manufactured terrorism has been the establishment of unprecedented unity amongst the increasingly rebellious population; a percentage that expands daily. Although these comprise some hundreds of small to medium organisations and small political parties, the umbrella organisation TRB is now believed to be Australia's fourth largest political entity, if one includes affiliates. With division paralysing the Liberals and Nationals, it may effectively be the second largest. At the very least, it has the numbers to form a new coalition, and with the potential power to force tariff restoration and electoral consensus democracy. Ironically, its most identifiable membership component is several Christian organisations.

Will this new paradigm be offset by repression from the agents of the *War Against Terrorism*? Considering the TRB is not one organisation, but hundreds; and is without leadership, or membership lists other than primary communiqué recipients, repression would be difficult to achieve; futile even.

No individual is significant, inasmuch as with a single goal of bringing back tariffs, and a game plan known to all active members, there are no decisions to be made; and if there were, absolute consensus is required for any change to be made. Operating below the political radar, which is entirely controlled by Rupert Murdoch and fellow Zionist Frank Lowry, by refusing to engage with the media the TRB does not even officially exist. How then to grapple with it?

This has been the legacy of the victimisation, intimidation and violence launched jointly by the Australian Government, the then Opposition and Greens, against One Nation members from 1996 to 2006. So, in a stroke of serendipity, we are the only western nation that was forewarned and forearmed of approaching government

terrorism.

By forming a well-disciplined and fractionalised resistance movement there is no target for government to repress.

(13) Control of food

Monsanto and other corporations such as Bayer, are manipulating plant and animal genetics with a view to eventually controlling all food on Earth; and more importantly, the price.

Varieties of domestic animals are being patented that have existed unchanged for thousands of years, a legal manoeuvre designed to extort royalties from breeders and users. Monsanto has lost the first round of legal tests, but as legal experts are replaced with more compliant alternatives, Monsanto is confident of winning.

In cropping, the genetics of plants are being rearranged or added to, to tolerate Monsanto's Roundup herbicide to facilitate selective weed spraying. And crops are being genetically manipulated to better withstand negative conditions, such as drought and pests. Others are said to be resistant to fungi. Yields are claimed to be superior.

At least one Canadian farmer was imprisoned for refusing to pay Monsanto royalties for GM corn that contaminated his normal corn crop through wind drift of pollen. In India, 165,000 small farmers have committed suicide because of crop non-performance, mostly in cotton-growing regions.

So far, tens of thousands of farmers have been driven bankrupt by poorly performing GM stock, and US independent food scientists have stated that there is now no doubt that **GM foods are hazardous to human health**, and have called for total abandonment of GM food crops. Studies in UK, in which rats were fed GM potatoes, produced young with undersized organs, especially liver, brain and testicles; which later shut down. One highly respected UK scientist, Dr Arpad Ousztai, had his career destroyed and lost his pension, because his study results caused panic at Monsanto. Later it was discovered that Monsanto phoned Bill Clinton, who phoned Tony Blair, who demanded that Ousztai be silenced. Ousztai's colleagues were easily coerced into deprecating his competence, until a few of them retired and were able to testify otherwise.

This is the pattern of governmental behaviour in respect of GM. Independent testing is rare, and when it does occur the inevitably frightening results are quashed. Known independent tests in Russia and at least one other country have supported Ousztai's findings

It goes without saying that this is the ultimate in corruption of government. Commercial corporations whose products are damaging human health are being protected to preserve election campaign funding. This is the benchmark of western government today.

Insanely, in the US, retailers who advertised milk that was free of IGF1, the product of an artificial production enhancing hormone (*Recombinant Bovine Growth Hormone* or rBGH), were informed by the courts that this was discrimination against Monsanto. It appears a similar law is being passed in Australia. A similar situation occurs with the adding of rBGH to feed lot cattle. It is strongly suspected that these hormones are influencing weight and physical development of children and adults, possibly contributing to the obesity pandemic.

Indirectly, GM food production is already causing death due to farmer suicides, and to malnutrition caused by reduced incomes from failed crops in parts of India.

As promised, Europe refused to accept US GM corn exports, so George Bush had the returned corn converted to biofuel, which also became the destiny of all US corn. Because this market was subsidised due to the sensitive nature of corn grower politics (contrary to claims, bio-fuel is not economical), Mexican land owners now also sell their corn to this new subsidised food-for-fuel industry, which has driven up the price of Mexican corn for tortilla by 30%. Peasants simply cannot afford to eat at these prices. Food riots are cosmeticised as related to drug wars.

Those around the world who participate in the campaign to outlaw GM foods believe Monsanto, Bayer and other managers and personnel involved in this unscrupulous trade should be tried for *Crimes Against Humanity*; as should the patents lawyers and politicians who enabled this outrageous trade. In Australia, politicians Tony Bourke and Nicola Roxon have already been advised they will be charged the moment a GM-derived human illness or fatality is experienced in Australia.

(14) The promotion of elitism

Elitism was first openly promoted in Australia in relation to sport, with seemingly innocuous references to *elite sports*, and to *elite sports men and women*.

Over a period of a decade, the term has gradually been extended to encompass that part of the community that has the most apparent talent or wealth.

Transparently, this is a stealth attack against Australian values of egalitarianism and serves as a psychological introduction to the concept of rule by an elite. Whether by oligarchy or plutocracy, this is exactly the situation that occurred in the US, and which allowed Wall Street to present itself as above the need for petty regulations and oversight by earthly mortals who, it is believed, could not possibly comprehend the complexity of banking and investment and should just leave this to the judgement of those who are intellectually equipped to do so.

This reintroduction of feudal hierarchism, violently abandoned in Europe from the 1790s onwards, needs to be placed in historical perspective.

Thomas Paine's inspirational book, the *Rights of Man*, which contributed to the spirit of the French and American Revolutions, triggered a pan-European rejection of aristocrats and born-to-rule hierarchies, which were subsequently forced underground.

But the aristocrats never abandoned their belief that the world is theirs to rule by right of birth, and by the 1850s the Rothschild banker family had forged alliances to restore aristocracies and form a global empire. This led to the reformation of Zionism, a move that was profoundly influenced by the Rothschilds. By 1913, the last of the world's Reserve Banks was established by this banker cabal (US) and the final links to American plutocrats secured. The campaign to re-establish elitism was launched.

The Rothschilds were skilled manipulators of wars and war loans, and excellent negotiators, and quickly accumulated great wealth, but in advancing their parallel objective of political *power*, they floundered.

John D Rockefeller and his Standard Oil increasingly cooperated in joint market control. Meanwhile, Nelson Rockefeller had put together a remarkable and complex plan to take over the world. It was another decade before the Rockefellers were rewarded by the blueprint's potential but by this time the running had fallen to John Rockefeller, who presented the plan to Churchill and FDR, as has been speculated, at the secret 1944 Alexandria Conference. Bretton Woods conference took place the same year.

The new organisation was modelled on the principle formulated entirely by Nelson, that of establishing high profile altruistic and philanthropical endeavours and foundations, with businesses operating in the shadows. As Nelson once put it, if your right hand performs glowing humanitarian works, the left can quietly rob and kill with impunity. This was the birth of Public Relations.

His global plan, then being implemented by John, was to set up a series of international bank shop-front operations, but draped liberally with agencies dedicated to lofty goals of love, compassion, good health, education and world peace. A headquarters was built on Rockefeller land in New York. And so it came to pass that the *United Nations* emerged within global household parlance, with the subtly promoted implication that this was somehow approved by God and pretty much every religious entity.

A special agency to promote education amongst the world's disadvantaged children ensured that a new generation knew the UN as a vast and goodly palace, peopled with noble heroes, scholars and saints who bestowed happiness, prosperity and peace on the world. By 1965, the global education agency, UNESCO, had secured control of universities and by 1973 had commenced converting teacher training into UN indoctrination factories for the purpose of shaping the minds of student teachers, and thence children, in more desirable directions. The key function was to sever the *intergenerational transfer of values*.

Under WHO, the *Family Planning Associations* (often with only 0.1% contact with men) began their role of reducing population growth and eliminating fathers as role models in families. In some countries, (ie Brazil) black women were sterilised in their tens of thousands, believing they were being treated to prevent disease. In Indira Gandhi's India, men were arbitrarily arrested and forcibly sterilised; possibly in their millions.

The Security Council ensured that the ruling nations, Israel, the US, UK, Germany and France, with ongoing military mandates to enforce economic hegemony, expanded investment and trade on terms favourable to themselves; and managed conflicts and drafted resources to these same nations. Oddly, it has yet to occur to the world community that **under the peace-loving ministrations of the United Nations, wars and genocides have increased thousands percent.**

On occasions, outsiders have discovered that the UN itself had precipitated wars, and it's an open secret that the world's prime munitions and weapons negotiators work closely with the UN to assess sales prospects. For at least thirty years, it has been common knowledge that the UN Bureaucracy is the most corrupt organisation in the world, but such accusations rarely make the media, already dominated by the same Zionists who spawned this spurious brotherhood of nations.

Behind all of this, the *World Bank*, the IMF (and in Switzerland, the separate BIS) represent the international investment capital of the Zionist global bankers. The *World Trade Organisation* is their propaganda wing. Today,

few nations are not victims to borrowing in foreign currencies, wherein changing exchange rates multiply the value of principle and interest. The only nations to not fall foul of these institutional Shylocks, are Lebanon, Malaysia, Iran, Venezuela, and to some extent, possibly Bolivia.

Today, a vast and coordinated globalisation resistance movement is moving to derail the globalist objective; a campaign made easier by the appalling incompetence of the elitists themselves, who do not comprehend that by destroying workers and consumers they eliminate the market which sustains corporate expansion.

(15) Institutionalised incompetence - the great miscalculation

It would be reasonable to expect professional business executives to be intelligent, broadly knowledgeable; and to be psychologically, emotionally and socially well balanced; as well as being capable of recognising economic, marketing, human and other resource realities.

Yet from corporate CEOs to middle management, an objective appraisal of decision-making reflects mediocre intelligence and an abysmal paucity of vision; and so completely lacking in an appreciation of social responsibility that one would be excused for concluding that a prime qualification is sociopathy.

Former Telstra CEO Ziggy Wikowski, for example, lost \$4 billion dollars in China, not knowing what happened to it; yet he continued as CEO until equally uncomprehending American Sol Trujillo took over. Sol attracted a 300% increase in customer complaints and lost \$25 billion in Telstra share value. As a humourless and almost fanatical free trader, he resented Australian's resistance to exploitation, and could not handle quips about his *Three Amigo* American colleagues, lashing out, calling Aussies racists. When the Prime Minister could not resist an *adios* as he departed; he insulted the entire country, describing it as backward.

But as a CEO, Trujillo was not the worst.

When we consider the extent of asset-stripping, workforce mass sacking for profit enhancement, off-shoring and out-sourcing, planned obsolescence, and bribing of politicians to enable exploitation and poisoning of consumers, the word sociopath could in many instances be replaced with psychopath. Indeed, one psychologist dropped an all-time clanger by pointing out that many politicians and industry CEOs were found to suffer from *Narcissist Personality Disorder*, which is not nearly as mild a condition as it sounds.

Considering that these are people with business degrees, critics have been hesitant to question the value of these.

The gradually crystallising truth is that, not just in Australia but in every country in the world, contemporary business degrees are entirely hollow. As **Stephen Crittenden of the ABC's *Background Briefing* programme reminded Australians:** In 1986, when Russell Ackoff, a pioneer of management education retired as Professor at the *Wharton Business School*, he was asked *what were the benefits of a business education?*

With savage irony he replied that there were three:

- The first was to equip students with a vocabulary that enabled them to talk with authority about subjects they did not understand.
- The second was to give students principles that would demonstrate their ability to withstand any amount of disconfirming evidence.
- The third was to give students a ticket of admission to a job where they could learn something about management.

Although the vacuity of business degrees is rapidly becoming acknowledged, what must eventually be confronted is that almost all university degrees are similarly decayed. In fact, much economic, financial, administrative and professional management is in the hands of people whose benchmark high 'intelligence' is merely the illusory product of an accident of history.

Past incompetence and bungling has been conveniently and unanimously blamed on unpredictable markets, international competition, conflicting ideologies and malign influences... and the favourite fallback target *trade unions*. The real reason has never, to this author's knowledge, been dragged out into the full light of day.

Throughout the almost twelve hundred years of guided academic history that followed repression of the Irish Monks, the Vatican favoured scholars whose prime talent was mindless compliance with dogma and doctrine; those who failed in this duty, often coloured the air with their heretical shrieks as they writhed on the grill or

burned at the stake.

With the arrival of the 20th century, and secularism invading cloistered insularity, a new theology emerged in the form of *Social Sciences*, whose ranks could be controlled and contained, not by the stake, but by the IQ test.

In an astounding event of serendipity it was discovered that the intellects of academics and scientists were to be found at the top of the **intelligence quotient** which they themselves had designed. The hated practical people and genuine producers and achievers, were henceforth relegated to pre-ordained educational obscurity. This tends to explain why the world's highest achievers tend to be academically unqualified. This is actually their highest qualification.

Although the inventor of the IQ test, Alfred Binet, warned repeatedly and loudly that this scale, or any other, could not validly measure intelligence (and only validly measured mental retardation; his reason for inventing it), American psychologists and educators saw in this a system that justified their belief in eugenics.

Thus, a policy of genetically-defined elitism, which was entirely devoid of scientific evidence to support it, was adopted and used to draft children into a hierarchy of educational access... streaming and intellectual-profiling. This spurious measure promoted a certain *mental function pattern* (with no demonstrated links to productivity), to qualify a more advantaged place in tertiary education, and hence the income distribution ladder.

As these were the same academics and scientists who controlled institutions of learning, and who were the only sector of society deemed (by themselves) qualified to measure intelligence, the transparent fraud has remained unchallenged.

Even today, schools, vocational training institutions and universities continue to be controlled by this self-appointed elite, but with increasingly disastrous consequences. The gap between qualifications and productivity continues to widen alarmingly and, even three decades ago, one educator noted that it takes twenty years for a *professional's* incompetence to become so evident as to be impossible to camouflage, and another twenty-five to push him into a safe backwater and thence retirement.

Today, the entirety of humanity is witnessing the supreme incapacity of economists to coherently explain the global financial meltdown, let alone the severe trade and economic collapse that is about to follow. One celebrated media economist in Australia, Steve Keen, apportioned blame for economist's clear complicity in the meltdown, on "*BadUniversity Text Books*". Ironically, it is currently being noticed that the most incompetent political candidates are those who graduated in political science, none of whom of course can explain the political decay that surrounds us.

Let there be no confusion about this. As Binet himself pointed out, **intelligence is not linear. It cannot be measured on a hierarchical scale.** IQ tests are a crock; a fraud. An education system that operates on such a basis is doomed to failure; and is in fact failing. Ironically, and very explicable, only academics and scientists defend this system.

All of this rather explains the confusion and consternation of visitors to the MENSEA Website, when they read in barely literate terms, the failure of MENSEA to identify members who have particularly distinguished themselves in human advancement. Yet MENSEA represents the elite of the intellectual elite. Those that did make names for themselves, were essentially technocrats who stumbled on answers. In fact, the vast majority of MENSEA members are hopeless nonentities.

Meanwhile, in every western country, school teachers are being exposed as both illiterate and innumerate, and severely handicapped in terms of real-world relevance, having never left school. Yet they are charged with arming children with skills for the outside world. Doctors, too, are regarded as intellectually superior, yet every medico is essentially a pusher for the drug corporations, and few could repeat contraindications for prescriptions if asked. Most appear not even aware that all vaccinations contain either ethylmercury or formaldehyde, or most lethal of all if **injected**, squalene. And although the Polish Government proved conclusively that the H1N1 vaccine did not work, few if any doctors have informed their patients. Mostly because they do not know, and do not want to know.

In the orgy of formalised training that has replaced the time-proven *apprenticeship and working-ones-way-up approach* to careers, industry is infested with qualified and parasitical incompetents known optimistically as trainers; but with the rising cost of living the unemployed cannot afford now-bloated education fees to re-establish careers.

With poetic justice, as the initiator of the IQ regime, America is reeling from its own educational crisis, discovering that the US is 39th on the global literacy scale. One study showed that 51% of final year high school students are functionally illiterate. Our obviously retarded educational academics call for better-qualified teachers... *that is to say*, if a formula does not work, then the same formula but bigger, will.

Teachers do not need to be more qualified; they simply need to be able to teach effectively, which is a talent that no university can impart.

This crisis is becoming universal. Politicians routinely cannot comprehend even basic facets of politics, economics, industry, trade, defence, health and education, or science, unless previously primed by their

advisors; who themselves are bereft of cultural direction or values and who are invariably conduits for the UN and banker lobbies (hey! there's a difference?).

We have finally arrived at a juncture at which we must recognise that our society's managers are idiots. We need to ignore artificial ranks and choose our generals on the basis of achievement. In other words, instead of employing managers on the basis of *pieces of paper that say they can do their jobs*, we need to hire people who can simply *demonstrate* they can do their job. Work record should demonstrate this. Not unexpectedly, no one with a high IQ has been able to work this out. And were they able to, they would not.

Perhaps with commerce and industry leaders who actually understand where they are and where they are going, we might yet restructure the global economy. The author of this paper is in possession of a plan that could launch such a restructuring in a single week. One does not have to be very bright.

About 85% of Australians know where to go. The national electorate can provide the needed direction of *up*, having become as familiar as it ever wants to be with *down*.

The biting urgency is the long-feared announcement by the IMF that Australia has accumulated unrepayable debts (which is nonsense), and must be considered for *debt forgiveness*... yet another euphemism, the condition being that all Australia's resources be turned over to the Chinese, as guarantors for our so-called stimulus payments. And even these were intended to hide the hollowing out of the retail sector by unemployment, and the absence of fractional reserves in the banks.

Recently retired former Treasurer, Peter Costello, has even primed the country for acceptance of the "unrepayable debt" concept, blaming the also recent Prime Minister Rudd (now resurrected). But this is all a game. Without an IMF script Costello would have no idea what an economy is. But his statement should serve as a warning that the IMF and BIS will soon be rattling at our door.

*

The IQ elitist clique has birthed yet another mad child... AGW.

Academics, scientists and investment bankers have conjoined in an orgy of elitism, self-worship, fraud, and political oligarchy. This curious relationship coalesced around the theory of *anthropogenic global warming* (AGW). Although AGW is a hotly challenged theory, with many of the world's top climate scientists describing this as politicised pseudo-science; the self-identified intelligentsia nevertheless decided that only their opinions mattered.

The world was duly advised that scientific consensus is complete; that AGW is settled, and that carbon taxes must be imposed to save humanity from flooding, storms, famine and disease. The opinions of non-scientists (ie intellectual inferiors) are dismissed as irrelevant.

That this is OUR planet, the home of all peoples, and therefore of legitimate concern to every person, is not a consideration, they say. Moreover, there is a general feeling amongst AGW believers that AGW deniers are heretics who should be forced to recant. One senses there are barely constrained urges to have heretics burned at the stake.

The ETS or carbon trading, plays out in two parts:

The first is the belief that carbon dioxide is damaging to this planet, and that it is being produced by humans to such an extent that the oceans and atmosphere will warm and melt glaciers, snow, icefields and the poles, thereby flooding much of earth.

The second aspect is the belief that by trading carbon, the planet will be saved.

Returning to the first theory, this ignores several manifest realities:

The Earth warms and cools in cycles, at roughly 30,000 year intervals. Each of the warm peaks are not one but several ups and downs, and the pattern suggests we are nearing the end of the current warm fluctuation. Some sub-peaks were warmer than others. The Roman Warm period, which was warmer than now, lasted from around 300 BC to 1300 AD, then cooled during the middle to late ages (1400 to 1900). During the Roman Warm north England produced wines, Greenland was green pastures occupied by migrant Danes; and the Chinese Imperial Navy of 1242 found no ice in the North Pole. (We might note that records show polar bears enjoyed this era). Yet there was no industrial production of CO₂ in this era. Whatever caused this warming it was not mankind. Similarly, man did not cause the cooling known as the Little Ice Age. Logically, a similar warming since the 19th century, conforming to past patterns, also was not man-made.

Secondly, increases in CO₂ are clearly an effect of warming, not a cause. Moreover, not only is CO₂ (a mere 0.28% of the atmosphere) not toxic, it is aerial plant food. With an urgent need to increase the plant and tree cover of this planet, we need more CO₂, not less. Suggestions that excessive levels of CO₂ are harmful to life are contradicted by the five times more atmospheric CO₂ extant during the Jurassic period, in which plant and animal life was at its most prolific in this planet's history.

Carbon trading is that part of this farce where fraud and profiteering make their entry. As crime investigators say, follow the money trail. The *Emissions Trading Scheme* was designed by one Richard Sander, in close association with Maurice Strong, Leslie Rosenthal, Al Gore, and several other investment bankers and wheeler dealers; names that are worthy of mentioning: Jane Thompson, Stuart Eizenstat, Rajendra Pachauri (aha... IPCC Chairman), Elizabeth Dowdeswell (UN bureaucrat), Michael Jammit Cutajar (UN bureaucrat), Thomas Lovejoy (Aha... the Mad Prince's *World Wildlife Fund*), Neil Eckert, and GoldmanSachs.

Other notable supporters of carbon trading are the Rockefellers and Rothschilds, George Soros, Frank Lowry, and Rupert Murdoch; families noted for their concern for the environment and humanity? Pardon our mirth.

Corporations and other commercial entities reduce carbon in their operations, thus earning Carbon Credits from government. These credits can then be traded to businesses that exceed proscribed carbon limits. How this reduces carbon in the atmosphere is not explained, but insiders calculate that the value of trading will exceed \$3 trillion; to our mind, the point of the scheme.

(16) Aggressive trading partners and the impact of high unemployment on internal economies

Because wage and salary earners cannot avoid income tax, they make a disproportionate contribution to Government revenues, about three times what the average small business owner pays in income tax. By comparison, foreign corporations and the very wealthy pay virtually no tax at all. It has been estimated that foreign corporations collectively contribute only 2% of total Australian government tax revenue.

Thus, if real unemployment* is 23%, which is the probable level in Australia today, this represents a loss of around 40% of Government income tax revenue; which also means Government has a 23% higher welfare bill than in prosperous times, but has lost a matching capacity to pay for public services. *Defined as *not having a job that pays a living wage*; which is the definition chosen by 90% of survey participants, and the universal definition as of 1893. In comparison, Government claims a person who trains or works for one hour per week is employed; as are Aborigines on the CDEP scheme.

As forecast earlier in this paper, a spiral of collapse in the retail industry is occurring progressively, enhancing unemployment numbers and further starving Government of revenue. Meanwhile, when interest rates set to rise further, the 42%* Australian housing bubble will burst, soon to be followed by the commercial real estate sector. *Assessed by housing investment expert Jeremy Grantham, as commissioned by a group of Australian businessmen in June 2010.

The impacts will be cumulative, which is when Australia's depression will really hit.

It is only a matter of time before the Australian Government can no longer pay for staff at public hospitals, teachers in schools, and staff to run essential services. With expanding unemployment, young unemployed will be told to return to their parents. Age and invalid pensioners will be given IOUs to take to food stores, who may or may not honour these.

Many very alarmed Australians point out that the above services are being paid for out of the more than \$1 billion borrowed by Government every day, and that we are, in fact, bankrupt. Rubbing salt in the wound, the more than \$800 million given in foreign aid comes from these borrowings.

Those with good instincts, and who do still have incomes, are paying off credit card debt as quickly as possible or, that achieved, making mortgage payments well in advance; which is money that does not go into economic circulation.

The sudden drop in spending precipitates yet another round of retail closures and resultant shedding of jobs; and so the spiral deepens and widens. Moreover, fear for the future is stoking up hard-edged nationalism and citizens are casting their eyes around silently, ready to identify new and different leaders who can produce evidence that a return to prosperity is possible. Very clearly the new mood will be nationalistic and violently anti-foreign imperialism.

Politicians in both the US and Oz appear oblivious to the dark mountain of hatred looming from beyond their peripheral vision, and soon to engulf them. Many countries will most certainly know civil war.

Non-collaborating analysts have already outlined the shape of things to come: As funds become no longer available to pay unemployment benefit, riots will occur and Government will declare martial law. In UK, squads are already being selected and trained to shoot civilians. In the US, mercenary armies will perform this function.

In Australia, Senator McClelland is drafting amendments to the terrorist act that will define angry citizens as criminals who can be held without rights, as are those deemed to be terrorists.

With the footsteps of the IMF approaching down the dark corridors of power, and China in no mood to maintain

its role as the chuckling neighbour, repression will be instant and brutal. As China sees it, a vast stockpile of Australian minerals is integral to its long term economic plan, and it wants these now.

More unintended consequences: At least this has been the plan; which is why former Imperial Mandarin Kevin Rudd was chosen for the role in the first place. However, China's disintegrating export markets will make Australian resources something of a booby prize; and in fact possibly even a millstone around China's neck. Not only will the Chinese Government be deprived of more than half of its export income due to target market impoverishment, a condition China itself created, it will be required to subsidise the Australian recovery. Obviously, it will renege.

Although some Americans claim US unemployment is 11%, our contacts suggest this is closer to one quarter of the work force, as in Australia, except in Ohio and North Dakota. Not only can the American unemployed not afford to purchase Chinese imports, they are increasingly demanding government bring back tariffs, led volubly by the US Steel Industry Workers Union; a double whammy for China.

(17) Global banks

Those who wish to learn the histories of the world's macabre banking families will have no trouble finding this on the Internet, and especially recommended is Andrew Gavin Marshall's *Origins of the American Empire* www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=14552 (http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?et=1102654038655&s=25004&e=001tC8q-mmcjFJpDwx7bYUweD_B6b17GwLdPIQf6G9Iz95y9MMsX0tbezamIfELa9BDCz8QWksOsTWpzPYjxHN74su6nQsQyDEyw9EG3wd1tkPZK3fc9NRB) so time and space will not be squandered here. Suffice to say that the Rothschilds commenced their fortune by precipitating a crisis of the British *consul* (which preceded the *pound*) immediately prior to the end of the Battle of Waterloo, by creating a rumour of British defeat then buying up all available *consuls* before announcement of the British triumph, caused the value to soar.

The Rothschilds multiplied their holding by 2000%, and in fact had gambled with money owned by an Austrian King (which was held in trust), without his knowledge. Since those times, the Rothschilds family has engineered wars, lending capital to both sides and accumulated \$100 trillion from exorbitant interest rates. Males of the family marry only other Rothschilds.

Around 1850, the Rothschilds finally negotiated a working relationship with American plutocrats, who were equally ruthless. The families and their allies combined forces and eventually offered to lend Abe Lincoln money to pay for the burgeoning civil war. Lincoln refused, creating bonds instead, thus saving the US billions in interest debt.

Mustering all resources of influence, the bankers attempted to force Lincoln to support having private banks manage American currency, and yet again he refused. His assassination followed soon after. It was not until 1913 that the bankers successfully persuaded not-so-bright Woodrow Wilson that this was in America's interests, and the US Federal Reserve was created; a private bank to make all Americans the subject to what one might accurately describe as inflation tax. Wilson eventually realised the enormity of what he had done, bitterly regretting what he now knew would lead to the destruction of America.

By the mid 1930s, Nelson Rockefeller had no difficulty convincing the Rothschilds and other bankers to support his UN project.

However, it was not until 1944 that another dynastic Rockefeller, John, grasped the opportunity to convince FDR that his own vision for an American Global Empire could ride to power on the Rockefeller vehicle. Thus it was that dear old Winnie (*Let's gas all the Arabs*) Churchill was manipulated into agreement; no doubt nudged by the British Rothschilds.

As though a gift from God to man, the United Nations became gloriously manifest on hallowed Rockefeller land in New York. Within a decade, every schoolchild in the western world was in possession of the United Nations Catechism, and by 1960 in most people's minds it had replaced God as the hope of mankind.

If we disregard its phoney aura of goodness, nobility, human rights, and dedicated strivings to broker peace, the United Nations is essentially a line of global bank shopfronts (WB, IMF), more recently supported by the Rothschild BIS, and by its sales and promotion facility (WTO); and with parallel programme development and implementation managed by a variety of agencies, UNESCO and WHO dominating.

In its first resurgence since the *Lassaiz Faire* of 1830/1880s that killed one million in UK alone, *The Free Market* was promoted as the solution to eliminating world poverty and most efficiently expanding productivity and prosperity. With equal ideological myopia, many UNophiles believed international crime would be eliminated as the world operated through the *International Criminal Court*.

And whilst forcing adaptations to global market cash crops, and causing starvation through abandonment of local

staple crops, the UN's WHO cut a swathe through the world, blaming internecine fighting, for polluted water supplies, and other outcomes of globalist interference and exploitation.

The asinine perception that an overpopulated world could only be saved by family planning, led to the formation of *Family Planning Associations* in every country, although audit records show that men do not feature within the family equation (0.1% of contacts). Thanks to these ministrations millions of people have now been sterilised, many without their knowledge or consent.

Meanwhile, but for a visionary handful, commencing with Buckminster Fuller; a startling reality remained hidden from view. **It has never been publicly admitted that all nations with age pension schemes develop zero population growth within twenty years**. (This is because the incentive to have many children is to ensure there are at least three surviving sons to feed their parents when they are too old to work; a formula which requires a dozen pregnancies to bear fruit).

Examination of UN activities continues to expose more sinister functions.

The WTO/ WB/ IMF formula for helping 'poor' third world nations, commenced with discreet corporate assistance to corrupt individuals and groups to win elections or otherwise achieve government, who then could be persuaded to accept lavish loans on behalf of their peoples. As these monies found their way to Swiss banks and enabled the dissolute lifestyles of the leaders, the World Bank officials assisted their clients to the conclusion that their debts were unrepayable. The IMF, only just resisting the temptation to appear in saintly robes, would offer to rescue the nation in a beatific act of debt forgiveness, but would mumble the qualifier that all resources would need to be surrendered to the provider of finance thereof.

In this way, nations have been required to hand over their natural resources, including their own labour. Certain corporations, notably Halliburton/KBR, would be collaborating on the fringes throughout the entire operation. John Perkins, in his excellent NY publication expose *I Was An Economic Hitman*, describes the entire confidence trick, including the fate of politicians who refused to play the game (all died in small plane crashes).

In reading this, one can appreciate the fiery contempt of Bianca Jagger, a woman who knew real South American poverty, for gullible pop stars who performed to the world to encourage further debt forgiveness for dear little African nations.

Elsewhere, promotion of the Free Market all but eliminated family farmers, whose land was then subsumed by giant foreign agri-business corporations; and each nation's manufacturers were also driven bankrupt by cheap subsidised foreign goods; produced not by third world family businesses, but by evicted farmers and disenfranchised peasants who had by now been reduced to the status of exploited wage slaves.

Circumstantial evidence, even in China, suggests that the export subsidies were provided by the global bankers, to expedite the destruction of local industries, and thence resistance against centralisation of global trade.

Although the UN is used to spearhead globalisation and entry to the New World Order, this is only one of the banker's weapons. Other strategies were spawned by the largest military/industrial/intelligence complex in history... the US *Trilateral Commission*. The architect of this complex was Zbigniew Brzezinski, commissioned by dynastic successor David Rockefeller. We will never know for sure the full role in takeovers of governments, setting up of false flag operations, strategic withdrawal of funds (ie \$550 billion on the very minute of the 7th anniversary of 9/11; and a reported \$17 billion in Australia in 1975 to destabilise the Whitlam Government).

But from the moment David took leadership of the dynasty, he launched his brilliant manipulations of power and established an association with fellow Zionist Rupert Murdoch, who we can now regard as the propaganda chief for the bankers in the west.

David Rockefeller, inheriting the creativity and vision of Nelson, and recognizing the danger created by the emergence of genuine democratic feminism in the late 1960s, directed the media to repress the *equal rights and equal opportunity* agenda and instead embrace *more power to women*, promoting affirmative action; and also the facilitation of easy divorce. Not only has Rockefeller not denied this, he has proudly admitted it, along with his intention to rule the world, and to achieve this with stealth or force.

His most important objective here was to diminish the family unit as a social force, which is at the heart of all cultures and thence, the driver of nationalism and natural demand for national sovereignty. National sovereignty is the most significant impediment to global government and the new world order of his dreams.

There is an aspect of the United Nations and international banker machine that rarely is broached, and that is the role of the judiciary.

Although the evidence is purely circumstantial, it is convincingly manifest that judges and magistrates were easily won over to the elitist agenda. To a man, they have adopted a reversal of sentencing priorities; which once were:

1. Protection of the public,
2. Justice,

3. Deterrence,
4. Restitution, and
5. Criminal rehabilitation.

Since 1976, the first four objectives have as good as been dropped, with only the futile rehabilitation of criminals retained. Uncomprehending journalists have described this as judicial activism, especially where this has been evident at High Court level, wherein the laws of centuries have been overturned.

Nowhere has this been more evident than in Aboriginal land rights; which were supported by the nation on the basis that these pertained only to traditional people for whom personal identification with the site of their spirit origin was an integral part of essential clan consensus protocols; and that these claims could only be lodged on *unalienated crown land*.

By 1980, all genuine claims had been accepted, and as far as the wider Australian community was concerned, the matter was ended. But from the UN came a steady stream of activist demands and radical new rights; all of which the judiciary had no trouble comprehending at all; an absolute departure for judges, and remarkable in magistrates.

In retrospect, we can now see how Aborigines were used to hamstring rural productivity, alienate land ownership, destabilise investment, and divide society. More especially, we can see how the alien concept of *Traditional Aboriginal Land Owners* was used to corrupt individual Aborigines and manipulate land use (ie the decision to impose toxic waste on Muckatky Station); and why Aboriginal Land Councils were established to overcome traditional opposition to mining; and, finally, to eliminate the traditional consensus protocols from which all Aboriginal decision-making evolved.

'Traditional owners' have yet to realise that this purely European-conceived status was used to defeat them. *Aboriginal Land Rights*, having been used to undermine Aboriginal authority structures, was then used to dissolve British-inherited common law concepts of property rights (ie a man's home is his castle). After July 2010 in Queensland, if Premier Bligh can get her new Constitution through, property rights will cease to exist altogether in this state.

All of this has been facilitated by judges, more especially High Court judges.

The behaviour of the judiciary has borne the **hallmark of Rockefeller triple-edged strategies**, and parallels all other NWO developments. The extent of collaboration has been total.

Finally, let it be recognised that what has been said here describes the seventeen major aspects of the globalisation programme; but as we have seen, not all of them were planned. Those aspects that have gone awry may be regarded as the long-predicted seeds of destruction now germinating within the nerve centre of Global Capitalism (which is a very different animal to *private enterprise cultural capitalism*; or what some of us refer to as a **democratic meritocracy** (which we support).

To survive economic collapse, nations will need to renegotiate trade, but this time using barter, as suggested by Malaysia's formidable Mahathir; and conjoined in principle by South America's Bolivarian Revolution. This will eliminate the Rothschild/Rockefeller Zionist bankers, an action which is essential for both national and global recovery.

In Australia, it needs to be recognised that **trade essentially provides wealth for our own plutocracy**.

The sole legitimate function of export is to balance the value of imports. Potentially, Australia is self-sufficient and, hence, there is no compelling economic reason why we should trade at all. But as a means of undermining our neighbour's possible motivation to engage in hegemony, trade can also be useful tool for peace.

Trade of itself is often inherently inflationary. For example, if beef is only produced for the domestic market, prices find their own natural level, providing the market is not manipulated; and protection in this regard is the legitimate function of government. (As US professor John Cozy points out, under global capitalism *supply and demand* is never allowed to impinge on profiteering).

However, if some beef is exported fetching a higher price, this drives up domestic prices accordingly, creating a higher cost of living and forcing workers to obtain wage increases to maintain parity, and so the price-wage spiral commences.

But the plutocrats, supported by their media-mogul allies, re-present this as a wage-price spiral, which is absolutely never the case. **This is merely union-bashing; which is worker-bashing, which is family bashing, which is really children bashing. In this age of the propaganda euphemism we must learn to call a spade a spade.**

But food production is subject to vagaries of climate and seasonal variations, and productivity targets must recognise this, with surpluses in five years out of seven. Surplus can be earmarked for export and the profit held to cover bad years. This is simply sound business practice.

In Australia, we can provide all of our own food, with the strategic exception of rice, which demands more use of

water than is prudent for Australian agricultural resources. So a case can therefore be made to trade with a local rice producing nation in exchange for one of our resources. The same applies to natural rubber, for which we can trade with Malaysia. Likewise, either Indonesia or Venezuela will be happy to trade with us for lubricating greases and oils; in fact Hugo Chavez can be relied upon to establish such relations.

Contrary to propaganda, Australia has its own light sweet crude and diesel oil, and at least one thousand years supply of natural gas. We have coal in abundance, and once again the AGW panic of CO₂ is pure propaganda. CO₂ is plant food. The planet would actually benefit from more CO₂ now, as any glass house market gardener could tell us.

Actually, the only inhibition on use of coal is the by-product mercury. A way must be identified to eliminate this pollution.

Once tariffs are restored, which would need to be installed incrementally, keeping pace with redevelopment of our manufacturing sector; within five years national sovereignty and independence would be complete. Contrary to popular expectation, this would be a two years process in respect of farming, and five years for manufacturing.

However, IT development will require the repatriation of our thousands of hardware and software technicians, who fled Australia when tariffs were removed.

None of this will happen if politicians are permitted to think for us. Change must be electorally-driven. At the mere mention of *informed electoral consensus* many otherwise astute political thinkers freeze, indoctrinated since childhood to believe consensus is impossible; that anyone who seriously pursues such a notion lives in la-la land.

Rethink time.

A fact of life under the globalist influence is that, for more than a century, we have been bombarded by elitist and anti-democracy propaganda. Phrases such as 'people can never agree' and 'half will always say yes and half will say no', are repeated *ad nauseum* whenever democracy is discussed.

Yet, consensus is more natural than disagreement. Observably, people are hardwired to agree. Just watch any two people agree on anything and they will smile. It is a natural reaction.

To overcome this, the global elite have evolved a system that spawned an artificial so-called *western culture*, that makes consensus impossible. This includes: the formation of committees, promoting the spurious belief that *voting* is a democratic process, that *representationalism* is democracy. Few question these beliefs today, truths now regarded as self-evident; which demonstrates the success of this manoeuvre. The only consensus permitted to day is that of the elite.

The key to genuine electoral consensus is ***commonality of relevant information***:

If a group of people are confronted by a contentious issue and have little access to relevant information; or there is no opportunity to study what is available, then indeed, there will be absolute division. But if appropriate research is undertaken, and the group have the opportunity to study this, almost total consensus is an almost inevitable outcome. Our experience suggests that 97% is invariably achievable.

A process of informed electoral consensus was instituted experimentally and successfully in Darwin in 1985. What was established is that this can be delivered as a simple and economical means of citizens presenting to government the direction and thrust of policy development.

Contrary to very determined and proselytised belief, citizens have no difficulty making wise decisions, as the famous 1967 referendum demonstrated, with citizens achieving 90% consensus, whilst politicians remained hopelessly divided. As there are rarely more than half a dozen genuine policy decisions made by government each year, no one will feel weighed down by this responsibility, and if they do, it doesn't matter. Some citizens will go for yes/no responses to consensus-seeking exercises while others will want to busy themselves with the 'nuts and bolts of government'; **which is their right**. As we have previously noted, **there is more knowledge and wisdom outside of government than within it**.

A real handicap to consensus is the media.

The current media monopoly will need to be completely broken up and Australia's Rupert Murdoch connection severed. Legislation will need to be enacted to ensure lobbies and advertisers are not able to influence elections or consensus. There is nothing administratively difficult about this. If the penalties are sufficiently severe there will be no breaches.

(In terms of detail, the subject of consensus democracy is complex and further information is available on a separate paper; *available on request*).

Yet another chicane on the road to national prosperity is usury; or *interest rates*. Banks that create wealth out of debt have siphoned the lifeblood out of nations and the predation of these bankers must be ended.

Alternatives to the loan interest system are not only possible, these have long existed.

Six Australian economists have already made interesting suggestions about resurrection of a people's bank, however as they have refused to elaborate to our representatives, there is reason to suspect this may be yet another fallback chicane set up by the Rockefellers. On the other hand, there are hundreds of economists with brilliant ideas (ie Richard Cook and Ellen Brown of the US) who are aware of the TRB movement in Australia and who would be willing to air their ideas here.

Surprising many of our younger generation, these visionaries will turn our attention to the achievements of Australia's Commonwealth Bank in the days when it financed the Snowy River Scheme without incurring debt from interest. They will show us the Bank of North Dakota, which creates its own credit; in a state that is one of only two in America still solvent. They will glance at post-depression European history, noting how the German Government went from runaway inflation and depression to becoming the powerhouse of Europe, in only four years (setting aside, of course, that government's less than admirable behaviour in other respects).

With our unmatched resources, there can be no doubt that Australia could, in just a few years, lead the world in economic and social development.

Naturally, the banker cabal will be livid and will be demanding that the EU and US impose trade embargoes and other sanctions to punish Australia and force it back into the banker globalist free market fold. But many other nations will pay only lip service to these aggressions, especially if we provide minerals at low prices, thereby enabling them to ride out the New Depression. *Business is business*. In any event, we need so little trade that embargoes would be ineffective.

Finally, there is the matter of US tradition, inasmuch as America invariably applies military force to recalcitrant colonies; which is, by the way, what we have been since 1975, with the sacking of the Whitlam Government.

To those who view America as a friend and ally, real history paints a different picture. It is a sobering fact that in 1983-84 a joint-venture of Armed Forces under-sea exploration took place between the US, Indonesia and Australia in the Arafura/Timor Sea region. To the disgust of Australian military intelligence, the data was shared between Indonesia and the US. Australia was left out in the cold.

Moreover, additional intelligence established that should war break out between Indonesia and Australia, the US had already decided that Indonesia was more pivotal to its interests.

However, what will shock the *All the way with USA* delusionals is former Australian Prime Minister Malcolm Fraser's expose...

"A Global Perspective" (PDF)

Australian Institute of International Affairs

MELBOURNE

10 November 2010

Fraser describes how on the three occasions when US military aid was needed, it was refused. He points out that ANZUS carries no guarantees; which prompts the question, what use is such a treaty?

So, would the US attack Australia if we pulled out from the American Empire?

They would like to but, no. There are six US bases on Australian soil, at least two of which are spy and navigation satellite relay stations. Theoretically, of course, we would never dream of calling the US bluff, but the Australian Defence Force could effortlessly turn US ICBMs into boomerangs, run its aircraft carriers aground, and cause their planes to get lost. And both China and Russia would love to know that there was a measurable period in which the US was without satellite spy coverage over the Australia-epicentred hemisphere.

This is an academic consideration and the Pentagon has no desire to be humiliated; and in respect of the Zionist bankers, the US Navy has no love of Zionists, ever since the proof became available that the Israeli bombing of the USS Liberty in 1967 was deliberate, with the loss of more than 300 American lives.

That this mass murder of allies was covered up by President Lyndon Johnston has made Israel the undeclared enemy of many American navy personnel. By now, the connection between Israel and the Zionist bankers, and White House advisers, has become well known.

Australia's potential economic independence was well understood long ago, which is why Australia was singled out for intense globalisation. We are the loose cannon; the only nation that can walk away from the New World Order unscathed. But the real fear is that Australian charisma will inspire others to follow suit.

For this reason, Australia has been falsely portrayed internationally as violent and aggressive, racist and oppressive, and a denier of human rights. Thus, punishment will be greeted by the world with righteous satisfaction.

Few Aussies are aware just how we have been betrayed by Aboriginal activists. Readers who don't mind being deeply shocked by the extent of misrepresentation and distortion of Australian history, should read the truth about the Deaths in Custody and Stolen Generation, *also available on request*.

In the meantime, every Australian should be aware that video footage of Aborigines in the NT being loaded into cattle trucks, for transport to slave camps and eventual execution, has been seen by many Europeans, primarily Germans.

Embellishments aside, the two young Germans who filmed this were actually observing Tiwi people boarding their truck/bus; which was a compromise reached following the NT Government's ban on riding on utes and trucks following the deaths of thirteen passengers killed when a truck overturned. The use of a coach being too expensive, and inappropriate for bush tracks anyway, Government agreed to allow Aboriginal communities build roll cages on their community trucks.

The backpacker German video takers were told the reality of the situation, but evidently could not resist dramatising for their home audiences; no doubt profitably.

Australia needs to present the real situation to the world.

The projected implosion of China's economy

This final chapter does not address an intended component of the globalisation agenda, yet China has indeed become an important tool of the Globalists, used very effectively to destroy national industries and economies and render these vulnerable to IMF interventions.

More especially, targeting family farmers and manufacturers, Chinese exports have been used to pauperise one quarter of Americans and Australians, and to militate against Australia's capacity to once again become self-sufficient; the only nation on this planet so-endowed and **therefore the biggest threat to the globalisation programme**.

Irritatingly, the pseudo-intelligentsia continue to point to China as a robust bulwark against global economic collapse. Consequently, this document would be incomplete if there were to be no brief reference to China's real prospects for global expansion.

Although I stand resolutely by the content of the previous chapters, this section is admittedly more an exercise in joining what is still an incomplete pattern of dots, *and with more yet to appear*. This is not a parlour game of crystal ball gazing, but neither is it an extrapolation based on interconnected hard evidence; but more something in between... an exercise that utilises logic, islands of evidence, history, esoteric insights, and common sense.

It is hoped that readers will rise to the challenge and make more accurate projections as evidence comes to hand. We will all benefit from such a debate, especially in the US, Canada and Australia.

For Australians, this chapter is also added to highlight the urgency of restoring tariffs and once again becoming economically self-sufficient. To not do so will allow this nation to collapse back into the equivalent of 1937.

Scholars who have abandoned academic dignity and plunged into this defiantly unscholarly paper have demanded to know how I obtained my information about China's dynastic ambitions. Where are the documents? Who said what? And when?

The truth is, there are no secret documents; and my original sources were ordinary Chinese immigrants in Australia. My methodology for probing their inscrutable Sino-ambitions was a historically respected intelligence probing technique: I got them really drunk. If it's good enough for the Mossad, MI6 and CIA, it's good enough for me.

Finally, it should be borne in mind that this final chapter was prepared in mid 2010 and has not been changed since then. This provides an opportunity to judge my capacity for geopolitical and economic forecasting.

As they flounder to remain occupationally buoyant in the wake of financial meltdown, classical economists cling grimly to the only debris still floating, a shard of propaganda that reads *China will save the world*.

While it is true that the Chinese economy remains strong, real economic growth is slowing, and it still has to deal with massive unrepayable American debt. No doubt China can ride that sea out, but wave upon wave of American bankruptcies and unemployment, eventually to be exacerbated by commercial real estate collapse, is

creating a new problem for China.

For the subtle nuance of these events to be truly appreciated, motivational developments need to be presented in historical perspective.

Sometime around two decades ago, the Communist Chinese leadership discreetly contacted the Sino-Diaspora in Singapore, Hong Kong, Macau, Vancouver and elsewhere and launched the secret drive to create the 15th Dynasty; exactly four thousand years after the commencement of the first.

Evidently, this was also visualised as the first resurgence of Chinese imperialism since the Manchu Dynasty ended in 1912. Moreover, cried the super-nationalists, this time it will be global. To fulfil this dream, it was agreed that the five prerequisite characters were: commonality of language, ethnic unity, acknowledgement of ethnic superiority, secrecy, and economic expansion.

Mandarin was selected as the global Chinese language and it was correctly surmised that the emergence of democracy would precipitate regional and sub-ethnic pride and identity, and therefore democracy must be repressed at all costs.

To ensure that economic imperialism developed rapidly, key exports to targeted nations were heavily subsidised. The 2008 CEO of Australian corporation Bluescope Steel noted that he saw rolls of sheet steel selling in Australia for less than it would cost to produce in their nation of origin, China. In tandem, America and Australia were flooded by cheap subsidised produce, consumer items, tools; construction materials and IT, both hardware and software. No doubt other countries were similarly targeted. GATT, GATS and the Lima Declaration provided momentum; and hamstringing any latent resistance.

The role of the WTO, WB, and IMF was significant, guided by the very canny Rothschild-Rockefeller alliance (who, it is suspected, contributed to much of the value of subsidies. Most certainly, it is known that by 2006 their banks dominated one third of China's finance and investment industry, and the subsidies certainly expedited free trade development. No doubt as a good-will gesture, a Rothschild bank in France was sold to China).

These Australian Chinese imports forced national production entities to out-source, off-shore... or go bankrupt. In Australia, 90% of family farmers were forced off the land and regional economies were obliterated. In the towns and cities, more than 75% of the manufacturing sector perished. By 2006, independent surveys (AIA, *Australian Independent*, and *The Bulletin*, 1999) revealed that 19% or more of Australians were unemployed; that 54% struggled to survive on below \$15,000 per year and that almost 70% (inclusive of the 54%) had incomes under \$29,000. The Keating, Howard and Rudd Governments collaborated in their demise with visible enthusiasm. Fortunately for their fragile necks, these leaders removed treason from the statute books; or so it is said. What has been unwritten can be rewritten.

To China, the winding up of tariffs was the *coup de grace* to open-import opposition. As they saw it, even by 2005 the entire global market was clearly as good as theirs ... 4000 years to the day since the commencement of Dynastic China.

It was here that the fatal preoccupation with aspect, portends, fortune, symbolic symmetry, and numbers were accidentally forged into a sword upon which the new dynasty would one day fall.

In the blind drive to achieve the *Glorious Destiny of Five Thousand Summers*, no one checked the till. Had they engaged in abacus-based projections, it would have become apparent that in capturing foreign markets they destroyed local jobs in those countries, and hence incomes and **discretionary purchasing power**. For approximately one quarter of Australians and Americans disposable income no longer exists.

In both the USA and Australia, much of the population cannot now afford to purchase China's consumer goods, and the 20% higher demographic have tightened their purse strings in alarm, directing windfalls, stimulus payments, and post-budget excess to eliminating credit card debt and making advance mortgage payments.

Thus, one quarter of China's former export market has been penetrated into oblivion... inviting a more concise anglo-saxon description. In the US, this market will be further depleted when the Japanese commence to sell US debt, which the cost of the Triple Disaster will inevitably force them to do (unless the US injects billions directly into the Japanese economy, to obviate the need for such measures).

Meanwhile, with some forty ethnic minorities murmuring restlessly in the North West, and *al Qaeda* attacking China's colonial interests abroad, and its own fuming unemployed about to rampage, the plan of an imported mountain of mineral resources with which to dominate the global consumer market has become the stuff of nightmares.

One can only guess how China will deal with this: save its economy or abandon the Imperial Dream. But those with power, in this instance the Communist Party leaders, will never let go. China will be the proverbial monkey with its hand trapped inside the jar, unwilling to let go of the global banana.

Sino-admiring critics will suggest I do not credit the Chinese with the same intelligence that they accord themselves, which is true. Where is the evidence? We need only reflect that this is the same Chinese people:

- Who guide their future with numerology and other superstitions;
- Who are driving hundreds of rare animal and bird species into extinction with the belief that their body parts, especially genitals and horns, contain special powers;
- Who are preoccupied with geographical aspects;
- Who are obsessed with money and status, but build homes in their colonial countries (ie NZ) with grandiose entrances but tiny rooms, which are then unsaleable (ie Auckland's Chowick);
- Who loudly refer to Australians in Australia as *laowai* or *wai guoren* (foreigners), or worse, *yang guizi* (foreign devils);
- Who believe they can repress, torture, imprison, enslave and kill their own citizens for the crime of not liking an uncivilised government;
- Who sell the body parts of those who are politically executed, on a market that demands more political heretics be profitably executed;
- Who continue to allow domesticated fur animals to be skinned alive;
- Who impose their paranoid secrecy even on the citizens of other nations.

The Chinese are as capable of monumental stupidity as any other human beings on earth. On current evidence the hand still clutches the banana, with China now attempting to purchase yet another Australian mine; this time coal.

It gets worse. Even while there is a massive urban housing shortage, a significant housing bubble has been created by the nouveau riche, who have built investment homes but not wanted dirty tenants, and so keep these empty... tens of thousands of empty new homes.

Then there is the water crisis. Most of China's fresh water is either polluted, or consists of ground water / aquifers that are about to run dry, especially in the broadacre farming regions to the north, where wheat is an important crop.

There are reports that suggest the great dams are precipitating faultline movements, and that earthquakes are being caused by gas mining.

It rather looks like megalomaniacal lust for power, greed, combined with blind superstition will end the Glorious Fifteenth Dynasty after only five years... perhaps to become known as The Teen Zy Dynasty.

China will have climbed onto the Imperial Throne, only to survey a global wasteland.

.....

Meanwhile in Australia, there is still the matter of action and reaction. Aussies have learned what happens if you **elect someone to do your thinking for you**. You end up with betrayal.

Because of repression of political or even social freedom of expression, reaction in Australia has gone underground... not unexpectedly. Polls recording Pauline Hanson's 1996 maiden speech in Parliament showed 94% national electoral support, causing joint ALP/CLP panic and Hanson and her supporters to be vilified and Hanson eventually imprisoned.

The Australian globalisation resistance movement's national recovery strategy has been spearheaded by the campaign for tariff restoration (TRB). But reclaiming prosperity and national sovereignty also demands:

The breaking up of the Rupert Murdoch media monopoly which, routinely, controls swinging voters and, thence, who enters Parliament.

Future essential electoral reform, in which the media are excluded from election campaigns, and political parties cannot dominate small parties and independents with fraudulent preferential voting laws. Campaigns must be presented on a state-provided pro-forma, thereby eliminating the situation by which wealth dictates election results and policies.

There must be a *Declaration of No Confidence in Government and the Opposition*, and a Caretaker Government installed in their place, made up of trusted and respected senior public servants (serving or retired) and still-respected former politicians; followed by national federal elections.

An immediate revocation of all acts, treaties and agreements that were not mandated by referendum.

Other plans include abandonment of the *Oil Price Parity Agreement* and fuel tax, thereby reducing retail petrol and diesel costs to 12 cents per litre (from upper limits, currently and variously \$1.35 to \$1.60), and to incrementally eliminate all but a handful of strategic imports, easily sourced from friendly countries that will refuse to cooperate with the inevitable embargoes and trade sanctions.

Aussies are joining this movement in the thousands: the *Tariff Restoration Bloc (TRB)*; and the reason why

should now be clear.

Those who wish to know more about the movement can contact Tony Ryan at tonyryan43@gmail.com
(<mailto:tonyryan43@gmail.com>)

Influences (authors of books, papers and articles):

Kenneth E Galbraith

Michel Chossudovsky (globalresearch.com, Ontario)

Michael Hudson

Eric Margolis

Peter Meyers

Paul Craig Roberts

Ellen Brown

Gore Vidal

John Pilger

Robert Kennedy

Stephen Lendman

Donald Horne

Seymour Hersh

Buckminster Fuller

Eric Walberg

F. William Engdahl

John Kozy

Matt Taibbi

Patrick White

Robert Fisk

Mike Whitney

Israel Shamir

Noam Chomsky

Ken Freeland

Andrew Gavin Marshall

Rick Rozoff

Dean Henderson

Paul Joseph Watson

James Petras

Adrian Salbuchi

Rick Ritzzoff

William K Black

Patrick White

Ten thousand miscellaneous interviewees whose views were recorded from 1965 to 2010. Five thousand of these were young international travellers interviewed discreetly on the Kakadu adventure safari, Far North Safari.

"Thanks guys, that was the best 8 years of my life. You were my best university."



(6) Removal of consumer influence and rights

The ACCC (Australian Competition and Consumer Commission) has taken a number of steps to remove consumer influence and rights. This includes the removal of the right to sue for damages in class actions, the removal of the right to sue for punitive damages, and the removal of the right to sue for emotional distress. The ACCC has also taken steps to remove the right to sue for product liability, and the right to sue for medical malpractice. The ACCC has also taken steps to remove the right to sue for breach of contract, and the right to sue for breach of warranty. The ACCC has also taken steps to remove the right to sue for breach of duty, and the right to sue for breach of fiduciary duty. The ACCC has also taken steps to remove the right to sue for breach of trust, and the right to sue for breach of confidence. The ACCC has also taken steps to remove the right to sue for breach of contract, and the right to sue for breach of warranty. The ACCC has also taken steps to remove the right to sue for breach of duty, and the right to sue for breach of fiduciary duty. The ACCC has also taken steps to remove the right to sue for breach of trust, and the right to sue for breach of confidence.